ANNOUNCEMENT RE. GOVERNMENT BUSINESS FOR THE WEEK COMMENCING

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI JAGDEEP DHANKHAR): Madam, with your permission, I rise to announce that Government Business in this House during the week commencing Monday, the 21st May, 1990, will consist of :—

(1) Reply to the discussion on the working of the Ministry of Civil Aviation.

(2) Consideration and return of the following Bills, as passed by Lok Sabha :—

(a) The Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1990.

(b) The Finance Bill, 1990.

(c) The Union Duties of Excise (Distribution) Amendment Bill 1990.

(d) The Additional Duties of Excise (Goods of Special Importance) Amendment Bill, 1990.

(3) Consideration and passing of the Gold (Control) Repeal Bill, as passed by Lok Sabha.

MESSAGE FROM THE LOK SABHA

The Finance Bill, 1990

SECRETARY-GENERAL : Madam, I have to report to the House the following message received from the Lok Sabha signed by the Secretary-General of the Lok Sabha :

"In accordance with the provisions of rule 96 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I am directed to enclose the Finance Bill, 1990, as passed by Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 17th May, 1990.

2. The Speaker has certified that this Bill is a Money Bill within the meaning of Article 110 of the Constitution of India."

I lay the Bill on the Table.

SOME HON. MEMBERS : What about the Finance Minister's reply on the price situation ?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : The Finance Minister was here in the morning. As we had suspended all business, I told him that he may go. At that time, there was no time. Now, whenever we have time for the reply, we will have it.

Now, Mr. Gurudas Das Gupta.

REFERENCE TO THE COUNTERMANDING OF BYE-ELECTION IN MEHAM DUE TO MURDER OF A CANDIDATE— *Contd.*

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA : Madam, frankly speaking, I feel extremely sad and perturbed and concerned because of the development that had taken place yesterday in a particular village of Meham. In my opinion, this incident is ominous, this incident is intriguing, this incident is absolutely dangerous. I call this incident again atrocious, more so because it involves the election of a person who is already the Chief Minister of the State. He can continue to be the Chief Minister if he is elected. Therefore, the train of incidents that have taken place involves the election not of a simple person, but of a person who is already the ruler of the State. I think it is intriguing because this incident took place in the background of massive rigging atrocity and attack on a number of journalists of the day of the election, and as a result, the election had to be countermanded. In the background of that, this development in Meham to me appears to be more intriguing. I do not understand that when this type of incidents are taking place centering round the election of a single person, why he should be considered to be indispensable for the Govt. of Haryana, for the political party that he happens to be part of.

Madam, I do not identify the incidents in Meham with the Janata Dal because a number of leaders or many of the leaders of Janata Dal had openly condemned the incident. Therefore, I never identify this incident entirely with the Janata Dal. I only wish that the Janata Dal picks up the courage to abandon the dead albatross hanging across the neck of that party, the dead albatross hanging in a similar way around the neck of the Ancient Mariner because this incident affects the credibility of the Government, this incident affects the image of the Government, this incident affects the very political process and

[Shri Gurudas Das Gupta]

system that we all represent here in this country. Madam, at the same time, I wish to say categorically that this incident does not signify the death of democracy a somebody here in this House was speaking about. The death of democracy does not depend on the people who rule the country. The future of democracy rests on the people of the country, its tradition and its conscience. I believe the Indian people are more mature than the people sitting in this House consider themselves to be. Madam, if the promulgation of emergency in the 70s had not ended the democracy, I do not believe the incidents in Meham can result in the murder of democracy. But definitely, Madam, it is an extremely serious incident which nobody can afford to overlook.

Madam, while concluding, will you kindly allow me to say that it is dangerous to pelt stones at others while living in a glass-house ? Somebody was pelting stones about the dynastic rule. While some of the Members were critical about the dynastic rule of a particular person in Haryana...the same set of persons were sychophants of another dynastic rule in Delhi.

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR : Madam, on a point of information.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : Let him conclude. There is no point of information. Let him finish.

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR : I am rising on a point of order.

SHRI SURESH KALMADI : Madam, it is the right of a Member to rise on a point of order.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : Don't argue, Mr. Kalmadi. Please sit down.

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR : Madam, the hon. Member has said 'dynastic rule in Haryana and dynastic rule in Delhi'. In Haryana, dynastic rule . . . (*Interruptions*).... has not been sanctified by the people. As far as Delhi was concerned, it was not dynastic rule. It was people's rule. It was people's mandate.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : There is no point of order and, therefore, I am not giving any ruling. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA : Madam, the more some people speak, the more exposed they are.

Anyway, I feel, the incident in Meham should be investigated thoroughly, seriously and impartially.

PROF. CHANDRESH P. THAKUR : By whom ?

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA : I cannot be subjected to cross-examination. The point is, the incident should be investigated thoroughly, seriously and impartially. "Impartially" means, by an agency outside the influence of the State Government. It must be outside the influence of the State Government. There is no point in saying that there will be an impartial enquiry by a police officer of Haryana. I believe, the enquiry has to be impartial. I believe, the Janata Dal Government having a policy based on values, having a policy based on democratic principles, having a policy based on dedication to, and love of, the country, has a responsibility of responding to the need of the situation. If they respond—which, I believe, they will, definitely—the stature of the Government will grow. If it is not done, the cloud of suspicion will remain. In this case, I believe, the finger of suspicion is pointed at some very important people of Haryana. The finger is definitely pointed at some important people of Haryana.

I do not know who committed the murder. But it is true that the murder was committed after rigging was done. There was an attempt to manupulate the verdict of the people. If these incidents are linked up, definitely, there is a feeling of insecurity and also suspicion. At the same time, Madam, there are instances in history when somebody committed a mistake just to implicate the others. There are instances in history. Therefore, suspicon is there. It must be cleared. There shrould be an impartial enquiry. An impartial enquiry is the responsibility not only of the State Government but also of the people, the party, in power in the State because, to them, it is a question of conscience and it is also a question of responding to the need of the situation.

I want this Government to survive. I want this Government to be strengthened. Therefore, the Government must make its position clear. The Government must take a position which is generally acceptable to the people. It is for this reason that this should be done. Lastly, I am very pained to hear "Devi Lal, Devi Lal, Devi Lal" on a number of occasions. Some people are pampering a particular personality to bring down the National Front

Government and the same set of people are shouting slogans against him in this House. This is not principled behaviour. This speaks of double standard. I believe, the people who are indulging in pampering him, the people who are meeting him silently, the people who are sending emissaries to him are indulging in just the opposite in this House. This is where the double standard lies. When you speak of values and when you speak.... (*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : I am not allowing any interruptions. Please sit down....I say, 'No'. Everybody has the right to make ... Please sit down. You can say all that when you get your chance.... No, no. it is not going on record.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GWPTA : I understand where the shoe pinches.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : Just a minute. Please sit down. I want to remind the Members that it is a serious matter. We have suspended the whole business today to take it up. Every Member has a right to make his point. If in this way objections will come, we cannot go on with the debate. So place don't get up again and again. I am warning you. You make your speech and finish.

SHRI M. M. JACOB : There is a point of order.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : That is not the way to run the House.

SHRI M. M. JACOB : Hon. Member, Shri Das Gupta, was pointing to the voice of the people in this House and connected this voice of the people here against certain people to voice of people going to Mr. Devi Lal and others. But that is an insinuation on this House. There cannot be an insinuation on the Members sitting here, unless there is some proof.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : If you want me to sit on the seat of judgement, nobody in this House will make a speech because then many people speak things which should not be spoken in this House. So please don't do that. Let him finish his speech .. Now I would request the Member not to use insinuations or allegations ..Please sit down. I have not allowed you. Don't do this in the House. I am warning you again. I request Members that it is a serious matter of murder. Please rise above party politics and speak.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA : Madam, it is because of that reason that I have demanded an impartial enquiry. It is because of this that I have demanded throwing out Mr. Chautala. It is because of that that I demanded that the Government should rise to the occasion and set an example. It is because of that that I demanded that the Janata Dal should pick up courage and put an end to that. It is because of that. But while doing the same, I will not insinuate but I shall definitely impute motive. And imputing a motive is not unparliamentary. Insinuation is unparliamentary. But imputing a motive is not unparliamentary. I am imputing the motive that the incident of Meham is being used to run down the Government, as an act of narrow politicalising to secure narrow political ends, and not because of any love for democracy because the same set of people had murdered democracy in the '70s.

3.00 P.M.

श्री सुरेश पचौरी (मध्य प्रदेश) : महोदया, सारे विश्व में भारतीय लोकतंत्र की अपनी एक महत्ता है यह माना जाता है कि भारतीय लोकतंत्र बहुत मजबूत है भारतीय लोकतंत्र के इतिहास में जब मेहम की घटना लिखी जाएगी तो जरूर काले अक्षरों में लिखी जाएगी

महोदया, सर्वोच्च सदन का यह दायित्व होता है कि वह यह देखे कि लोकतंत्र की हत्या न हो जिस तरीके से लोकतंत्र की हत्या कल मेहम में दो-तीन लोगों की जाने जाने से हुई है, जो कि वहां होने वाले चुनाव के परिप्रेक्ष्य में हुई है। इसलिए इस सदन का यह फर्ज बनता है कि न केवल हम इस घटना के प्रति चिंता व्यक्त करें, बल्कि हम उन निदानों के बारे में विचार करें, जिनसे कि यह घटना किस आधार पर घटित हुई है उसका पर्दाफाश हो सके और जो मुजरिम है, जो इसके लिए दोषी है, उनको सजा मिल सके।

महोदया, मेरे से पहले वाले वक्ताओं ने काफी विस्तार से इस घटना के संबंध में बोला है इस दुर्भाग्यजनक घटना के तीन दृश्य हैं, पहला दृश्य यह है कि जब पिछले समय चुनाव हुआ था और उसके बाद फिर से चुनाव को आगे बढ़ाया गया था। दूसरा इस दुर्भाग्यजनक नाटक का दृश्य तब देखने को मिलता है जब एक निर्दलीय प्रत्याशी

[श्री सुरेश पचौरी]

श्री अमीर सिंह की बर्बरतापूर्वक हत्या हुई और उसके गांव से 15 किलोमीटर दूर उसका शव पड़ा पाया गया। तीसरे दृश्य की तरफ जब हम गौर करते हैं, जो आगे आने वाला चुनाव रहेगा महम का, तो वह कल्पना से परे रहने वाला एक दृश्य है। इसलिए शासन को, चाहे वह केन्द्रीय शासन हो और चाहे यह सर्वोच्च सदन हो, इस संबंध में गंभीरता से विचार करना चाहिए।

महोदया, जो महत्वपूर्ण बातें हैं, वे यह हैं कि बहुत पहले से यह आशंका व्यक्त की जा रही थी कि महम में होने वाले चुनाव में कुछ न कुछ अवश्य होगा, कुछ न कुछ गड़बड़ी अवश्य होगी। पिछले समय जब यह चुनाव आगे बढ़ाया गया तो शासन ने जो पूरी शासकीय मशीनरी का दुरुपयोग किया था, जो गुंडागर्दी हुई थी, जो पुलिस ने तांडव नृत्य किया था, उनका उल्लेख किया गया था और उसके बाद इस चुनाव को आगे बढ़ाया गया था। जब यह बात उठी कि शासन ने अपनी शासकीय मशीनरी का दुरुपयोग किया तो यह सरकार का दायित्व हो जाता है, उसका अपना फर्ज हो जाता है कि वह अपनी तरफ से एक ऐसी व्यवस्था करे कि निष्पक्ष चुनाव हो सकें इस ढंग से चुनाव हो सकें कि लोगों को वहां सुरक्षा मिल सके--चाहे वह मतदाता हो चाहे वह वहां पर चुनाव लड़ने वाले लोग हों। मैं आपके माध्यम से यह जानना चाहूंगा कि केन्द्रीय सरकार की तरफ से ऐसी क्या व्यवस्था की गई कि जो चुनाव में लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार थे उनको सुरक्षा प्रदान की गई और कितनी सुरक्षा प्रदान की गई ? केवल राज्य सरकार के भरोसे चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवारों को छोड़ दिया जाए तो यह बड़े सहज ढंग से अंदाज लगाया जा सकता है कि वहां का मुख्य मंत्री चुनाव लड़ रहा है, जिस ने सार्वजनिक रूप से यह घोषणा की थी कि यदि वह 17000 से कम वोट चुनाव जीतेगा तो वह सन्यास ले लेगा। यह एक छोटी-मोटी बात नहीं है, यह बहुत गम्भीर बात है जिसके पीछे कई अर्थ छिपे हुए हैं। संभव है कि उसे यह आभास हुआ हो कि 17000 से ज्यादा वोट उसे नहीं मिल पाएंगे, बहुत कम वोट मिल पाएंगे या वह चुनाव हार जाएगा, तो उसके पीछे यह एक साजिश रची गई जिसके संदर्भ में उस निर्दलीय उम्मीदवार श्री अमीर सिंह की हत्या हुई।

अब यह बात कही जाती है कि वह चौटाला के डम्मी उम्मीदवार थे। मैं यह जानना चाहूंगा कि यदि वह डम्मी उम्मीदवार थे तो नामांकन पत्र भरने के बाद उनके और चौटाला जी के बीच कब-कब और कितनी बार वार्तालाप हुआ ? मेरी अपनी जानकारी के अनुसार उनके और चौटला जी के बीच में वार्तालाप नामांकन वापिस लेने की तिथि के बाद बिल्कुल नहीं हुआ था। यदि डम्मी उम्मीदवार होते तो वह चुनाव प्रचार बिल्कुल नहीं करते। यदि डम्मी उम्मीदवार होते तो उनके बीच में आपस में विचार-विमर्श होता रहता, आपस में सामंजस्य रहता कि किस तरीके से चुनाव का प्रकार किया जाए।

दूसरी और महत्वपूर्ण बात है महोदया, वह यह है कि एफ० आई० आर० किसने दर्ज कराया ? एफ० आई० आर० स्वर्गीय अमीर सिंह के बड़े भाई ने दर्ज कराया। यदि उनको सुरक्षा प्रदान की गई थी जैसा कि पेपर्स के माध्यम से बताया गया है कि एक ए० एस० आई० उनको मिला हुआ था, यदि उन्हें एक ए०एस०आई० की सुरक्षा प्रदान की गई थी तो उस ए०एस०आई० ने यह एफ०आई०आर० दर्ज क्यों नहीं कराया ? यह उस ए० एस० आई० की ड्यूटी बनती थी कि यदि अमीर सिंह, जिसकी सुरक्षा करने का दायित्व उसको था, अमीर सिंह की यदि हत्या हो गई थी तो वह ए०एस०आई० थाने में जाकर उसकी रिपोर्ट दर्ज कराता। महोदया, ऐसा नहीं हुआ। यहां कई प्रकार के संदेह हमारे और आम आदमी के मन में आते हैं कि आखिर उस ए०एस०आई० ने रिपोर्ट दर्ज क्यों नहीं कराई ? आखिर वह ए०एस०आई० अमीर सिंह के गायब होने के बाद कहां था, कितने बजे से गायब हुआ और बाद में

वह ए०एस०आई० कब आया ? इस बारे में विचार किया जाना और पता लगना बहुत ज्यादा जरूरी है ।

यह राज्य सरकार का दायित्व होता है कि राज्य में कानून-व्यवस्था न बिगड़े । पिछले लम्बे अरसे से यह मांग चल रही है कि हरियाणा में कानून और व्यवस्था बिगड़ रही है । जिस मुख्य मंत्री के वर्चस्व में, जिस मुख्य मंत्री के संरक्षण में कानून-व्यवस्था बिगड़ रही हो, वह मुख्य मंत्री जब स्वयं चुनाव लड़ रहा हो, तो कानून-व्यवस्था बनाए रखते हुए चुनाव लड़ा जाएगा, यह कल्पना से परे की बात है । इसलिए केन्द्रीय शासन को इस संबंध में जब पहले से ही आशंका हो रही थी, तब पहले से ही पर्याप्त व्यवस्था करनी चाहिए थी, उसमें केन्द्रीय शासन बिल्कुल असफल हुआ है और क्यों न हो ? साजिश से बने हुए, प्रधानमंत्री जब ताऊ की मदद से प्रधानमंत्री बने तो वह कैसे साजिशों को रोक पाएंगे ? यह एक स्वयं-सिद्ध बात है । जहां तक अनुमान लगाने वाली बात है, इसमें यह कल्पना करना कि प्रधानमंत्री जो ताऊ की मदद से प्रधानमंत्री बना है, वह इस पर किसी भी ढंग से अंकुश लगा पाएगा, यह उसके बस के बाहर की बात है ।

आपके माध्यम से एक बात और जानना चाहूंगा । समाचारपत्रों में यह आया है कि अमीर सिंह की मुलाकात ओम प्रकाश चौटाला के भाई अजय सिंह से हुई । यदि उनकी मुलाकात अजय सिंह से हुई तो उनके बीच किस वातावरण में मुलाकात हुई ? वातावरण तनावपूर्ण था या सौहार्दपूर्ण ? कितने बजे उन दोनों की मुलाकात हुई और कितने बजे उनकी हत्या हुई ? जब इन दोनों की मुलाकात हुई तो क्या यह ए०एस०आई० जिसे अमीर सिंह की सुरक्षा के लिए नियुक्त किया गया था, वहां मौजूद था ? इसकी जांच किया जाना बहुत जरूरी है । मूल्यों की बात करने वाली सरकार से यह उम्मीद की जाती है कि जब कानून और व्यवस्था हरियाणा में बिगड़ रही है और लोकतंत्र की रक्षा नहीं की जा रही है, जब लोकतांत्रिक इतिहास में हमारे यहां कलंक लग गया है, तब वह ऐसी सरकार को बर्खास्त कर दे जिसके रहते यह सब हो रहा है । इसलिए समय का तकाजा है, नैतिकता का तकाजा है कि हरियाणा सरकार को तुरंत बर्खास्त किया जाए और यहां से सर्वदलीय सदस्यों की एक समिति वहां जाए जो इस बात की जांच करे कि किन परिस्थितियों में अमीर सिंह की हत्या की गई ।

श्री ईश दत्त यादव : माननीय उपसभापति जी, यह मेहम की जो घटना घटी है, यह बहुत दुखद और गंभीर घटना है । उसके संबंध में आज जो चर्चा चल रही है, इसमें मैं भी अपने विचार रखना चाहता हूं लेकिन विपक्ष में जो कुछ माननीय सदस्यगण हैं, मैं आपके माध्यम से इनसे अनुरोध करना चाहूंगा कि शोर मचाने से कोई काम चलने वाला नहीं है । हम लोगों की बातों को भी आप गंभीरता से सुनने का और इस पर विचार करने का कष्ट करें ।

महोदया, विपक्ष के नेता माननीय शिवशंकर जी का भाषण मैंने सुना । मैं उनका हृदय से सम्मान करता हूं । ये विपक्ष के नेता हैं, विद्वान हैं, कानून के वेत्ता हैं लेकिन न जाने किस भावावेश में, जो मैं सुन सका हूं, इन्होंने कहा कि ओम प्रकाश चौटाला की गिरफ्तारी की जाए । मान्यवर, मैं एक प्रश्न आपके माध्यम से इनसे पूछना चाहता हूं कि आप तो कानून के जानकार हैं । कानून में कौन सी ऐसी व्यवस्था है ? एक एफ० आई०आर० हुई । मृतक के भाई, अमीर सिंह के भाई ने एफ०आई०आर० दर्ज कराई, उसकी जांच हो रही है । हो सकता है कि जो इन्वैस्टिगेशन हो रही है, उससे हम सब लोग संतुष्ट न हों । इन्वैस्टिगेशन की बहुत ही एजेंसियां हैं । किसी भी एजेंसी से इन्वैस्टिगेशन हो सकती है ।

महोदया, माननीय गुरुदास दास गुप्त जी ने सुझाव दिया कि दूसरे राज्य की ऐजेंसी से जांच कराई जाए । यह भी अच्छी बात है लेकिन बिना जांच हुए, बिना कोई एफ०आई०आर० हुए, माननीय

[श्री ईश दत्त यादव]

नेता जो विपक्ष के हैं, इस तरह से भाव वेश मे आकर, केवल विपक्ष की राजनीति को जिंदा रखने के लिए इस सदन में मांग कर देते हैं कि ओम प्रकाश चौटाला को गिरफ्तार किया जाए । मैं समझता हूं यह बात उचित नहीं है । यह न्यायसंगत बात नहीं है और यह उससे भी ज्यादा दुखद बात है क्योंकि यह लोग तो अपने कुछ कुकर्मों के कारण वहां पहुंच गए इन्हें शांति से, गंभीरता से आत्मालोचन करना चाहिए । केवल राजनीति के लिए किसी की लाश पर रोटी सेंकने का काम नहीं करना चाहिए ... **(व्यवधान)**

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय : आरोप लगाने से पहले अपने दामन में देखिए ।

श्री ईश दत्त यादव : मैंने शुरु में अनुरोध किया है कि जरा सुनिए । महोदया, मैं निवेदन कर रहा था कि परिस्थितियां क्या हो सकती हैं ? आज हरियाणा की सरकार पर आरोप लगाया जा रहा है, वहां के उम्मीदवार श्री ओमप्रकाश चौटाला जी पर आरोप लगाया जा रहा है । परिस्थितियां क्या हो सकती हैं ? श्री ओमप्रकाश चौटाला ने जब नामांकन पत्र प्रस्तुत किया तो उन्होंने घोषणा किया था कि मैं मेहम चुनाव में नहीं जाऊंगा । उन्होंने चनाव आयोग से मांग की कि केन्द्र से फोर्स भेजा जाये हरियाणा की पुलिस चुनाव की व्यवस्था में न रहे । उन्होंने यह भी मांग की चुनाव आयोग से कि चुनाव प्रक्रिया को सम्पन्न करने के लिए हरियाणा सरकार का कोई अधिकारी और कर्मचारी ना लगाया जाये, बल्कि बाहर के अधिकारी और कर्मचारी यहां पर बुलाये जायें। महोदया, यह सारी परिस्थितियां जो साबित करती हैं कि हरियाणा के मुख्य मंत्री श्री ओम प्रकाश चौटाला चाहते थे कि हरियाणा के अंदर, मेहम के अंदर चुनाव निष्पक्ष ढंग से कराया जाये । उनकी सारी कोशिश थी, ईमानदारी से कोशिश थी कि चुनाव निष्पक्ष ढंग से कराये जायें । आज आप आरोप लगा रहे हैं कि उन्होंने हत्या इसलिये करा दी कि मेहम का चुनाव स्थगित कराया जाये । लेकिन महोदया, जिस अखबार की न्यूज के आधार पर आप बात कर रहे हैं उसी अखबार में यह भी छपा हुआ है कि हरियाणा सरकार के उप मुख्य मंत्री श्री बनारसी दास गुप्ता के नेतृत्व में लोगों ने चुनाव आयोग से मांग की है कि मेहम की घटना होने के बावजूद भी चुनाव करा दिया जाये । आज भी ओमप्रकाश चौटाला वहां चुनाव लड़ने के लिये तैयार हैं लेकिन कानून में बाध्यता है और संविधान में बाध्यता है, पीपुल्स रिप्रेजेंटेशन एक्ट में इस तरह की व्यवस्था है कि जब किसी भी लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार की हत्या हो जाये, मृत्यु हो जाये तो चुनाव स्थगित हो जायेगा । वरन उस कानून की व्यवस्था होने के बावजूद भी आज ओमप्रकाश चौटाला चाहते हैं कि हरियाणा के अंदर चुनाव हो जाए । महोदया, स्पष्ट होता है कि अमीर सिंह जिनकी हत्या हुई इनके संबंध खराब थे डांगी से । डांगी के भाई के मुकाबले अमीर सिंह के भाई का सरपंची का ग्रामसभा के किसी पद का चुनाव हुआ था । इन लोगों के बीच में रंजिश थी, संबंध अच्छे नहीं थे ? दूसरी चीज, महोदया, अमीर सिंह के बड़े भाई ने प्रथम सूचना थाने में अंकित कराई । महोदया, माननीय विपक्ष के नेता भी कानून के विद्वान है, दूसरे लोग भी कानून के विद्वान बैठे हुए हैं । कानून में मैं जहां तक जान पाया ...—**(व्यवधान)**...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY : Is he discussing about Meham or somethig else ? Madam, the Minister of State for Home is sleeping. This is a serious matter. ....*(Interruptions)*....

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SUBODH KANT SAHAY) : No, I am listening.

SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV : I am discussing about Meham. I will not go beyond Meham.

महोदया, कानून का सिद्धांत यह है, जुरीप्रिडेंस में भी यह है कि अगर कोई घटना हो जाती है तो असल कलप्रिट्स को कोई नहीं छोड़ता है, उसके अलावा दो को, चार को, एक को भले ही फर्जी फंसादें लेकिन जो मुख्य अभियुक्त होता है, जो मुख्य गुनहगार होना है उसको कोई नहीं छोड़ता आज मृतक के भाई ने जो एफ. आई. आर. दर्ज करायी है उस एफ.आई.आर. में डांगी और तीन अन्य आदमियों के नाम हैं कहीं ओमप्रकाश चोटाला, ओमप्रकाश के किसी संबंधी या इस पक्ष के किसी भी आदमी का नाम नहीं है। आज आप घड़ल्ले से इस सदन के अंदर सवाल उठा रहे है कि ओमप्रकाश चोटाला को गिरफ्तार किया जाये। ओमप्रकाश चोटाला के बारे मे आप कहते हैं कि दो जगह से चुनाव लड़ रहे हैं। महोदया, इस कानून में कोई रुकावट नहीं है दो जगह से चुनाव लड़ने के लिये। मैं पहले का इतिहास नहीं बताना चाहता लेकिन स्वर्गीय इंदिरा गांधी भी इस सदन में मेढक और रायबरेली से एक साथ चुनाव लड़ी थीं। क्या कोई गुनाह था? आप सब लोग जनतंत्र की हत्या की आवाज उठा रहे हैं। आप जनतंत्र की दुहाई दे रहे हैं...(व्यवधान) आज जो मेहम मे घटना हुई है वह दुखद है और चिंताजनक है, हम सब लोग सहमत हैं। लेकिन आज जनतंत्र की दुआई देने वाले लोगों जब 1984 में देश की लोकप्रिया प्रधान मंत्री स्वर्गीय इंदिरा गांधी की हत्या हुई थी उस समय दिल्ली में क्या हुआ था? कानपुर में क्या हुआ था? जनतंत्र की हत्या नहीं हो रही थी और आप बतायें जो उधर लोग हैं कि जब देश के अंदर जनतंत्र की हत्या हो रही थी दिल्ली और कानपुर और दूसरे शहरों में लोग जलाये जा रहे थे और आप एक आदमी की ताजपोशी में मशगूल थे उस समय आपने क्यों नहीं जनतंत्र की आवाज उठाई! उस समय आपने क्यों नहीं जनतंत्र की आवाज उठाई? उस समय क्यों नहीं इस तरह के सवाल उठाये? (व्यवधान) आज केवल सस्ती लोकप्रियता के लिए इस देश के अंदर अशांति स्थापित करने के लिये, साम्प्रदायिक भेद-भाव पैदा करने के लिए, देश के लोगों को गुमराह करने के लिए इस तरह के मसले आज सदन में उठाने के आदी हो गये हैं। इसलिए मैं आपके माध्यम से माननीय विपक्ष के लोगों से अनुरोध करना चहता हूं कि इस तरह की राजनीति न करिये जिससे देश की राजनीति पर देश के जनतंत्र पर, देश के लोकतंत्र पर कोई बुरा प्रभाव पड़ने वाला हो। सही बात आप कहिये सदन में। इस तरह की आवाज मत उठाइये कि ओम प्रकाश चौटाला को गिरफ्तार किया जाए, बर्खास्त किया जाए। देश के अंदर जो कानून बने हुए हैं वे आपके सभय के ही बने हुए हैं। उन कानूनो का आप भी पालन करिये। इस तरह का अनुरोध मैं अपने विपक्ष के माननीय नेता से भी करूंगा कि इस तरह की आवाज नहीं उठानी चाहिए।

मैं अपनी बात समाप्त करते हुए निवेदन करना चहता हूं कि ओम प्रकाश चौटाला जी ने, अभी पेपर में नहीं आया, लेकिन मेरी जो जानकारी है यह है कि उन्होंने इस बात को माना है कि जिस भी एजेंसी से हो---हरियाणा प्रदेश के अंदर की हो या हरियाणा प्रदेश के बाहर की हो--- इसकी निष्पक्ष जांच होनी चाहिए ताकि असलियत का पता चल सके और जो कांग्रेस के लोग गलत आरोप लगाकर इस देश के अंदर जनतंत्र की दुहाई दे रहे है वह जनतंत्र की गलत तरीके से हत्या न कर पायें। धन्यवाद।

डा० अबरार अहमद खान (राजस्थान): महोदया, मैंने इसी सप्ताह के पहले दिन सोमवार को विशेष उल्लेख के माध्यम से और आपके माध्यम से जो कुछ मेहम में हो रहा था उसके बारे में केन्द्रीय सरकार को आगाह किया था। आप उस दिन चेयर पर मौजूद थीं। मैंने आपके माध्यम से बताया था कि जिस प्रकार का इनका रवैया चल रहा है, जिस प्रकार की मेहम में कारगुजारी की जा रही है, जिस तरह से चौटाला मुख्य मंत्री के वक्तव्य आ रहे हैं उससे लगता है निश्चित रूप से मेहम में कुछ होने वाला है। मैंने एक बात बहुत स्पष्ट रूप से कही थी कि 27-28 फरवरी को जो घटना घटी उस टाइम हरियाणा में जो मुख्य मंत्री था

[डा० अबरार अहमद खान]

वही मुख्य मंत्री आज भी है, मैंने यह भी कहा था कि हरियाणा में उस टाइम जो पुलिस थी वही पुलिस आज भी है, मैंने यह भी कहा था कि हरियाणा में जो प्रशासन उस समय था वही प्रशासन आज भी है । वही प्रशासन, वही पुलिस, वही मख्यमंत्री और वही बाई-इलेक्शन प्रत्याशी तो निश्चित रूप से कोई आधार नहीं रह जाता कि चौटाला मुख्य मंत्री अपने जीतने के लिए गड़बड़ न करे । इसके लिए मैंने पुरजोर यह कहने की कोशिश की थी कि वहां कोई भी घटना घट सकती है, कोई भी अप्रिय घटना घट सकती है । उसी प्रशासन, उसी पुलिस, उसी मुख्य मंत्री, उसी चौटाला के समय में जो मृत्यु तांडव हुआ है, यह जो घटना घटी है यह हिन्दुस्तान के जनतंत्र में काले शब्दों से लिखी जायेगी । जिस दिन चौटाला दो जगहों से लड़ने के लिए खड़े हुए उसी दि यह बात साफ़ हो गयी थी कि वह अच्छी तरह से जानते हैं मेहम का चुनाव वह नहीं जीत सकते। वहां पोलिंग कराकर, चुनाव कराकर और फिर वहां से हारकर वह चौटाला अपने पूरे परिवार का राजनीतिक अस्तित्व समाप्त नहीं करना चाहते । वह किसी कीमत पर नहीं चाहते थे कि वहां चुनाव हों । उसके लिए हमारे साथी बार-बार कह रहे हैं कि मरने वाला जो प्रत्याशी है वह चौटाला का डम्मी कंडीडेट था । मैं थोड़ी देर के लिए उनकी बात मान लेता हूं कि वह उनका डम्मी कंडीडेट था तो मैं यह कहता हूं कि अगर व डम्मी कंडीडेट था तो उसको खड़ा इसलिए किया गया था ताकि विश्वास में लेकर उसकी हत्या करवा दी जाए । विश्वासघात करके किसी व्यक्ति को मारना आसान होता है जबकि सामने से लड़ कर मारना मुश्किल होता है । मैं इस दलील को मानता हूं कि उस व्यक्ति को खड़ा करने का मकसद ही यह था कि उसको विश्वास में लेकर मरवा दिया जाए और चुनाव को रुकवा दिया द । अगर महम का चुनाव हार जाते तो चौटाला के सारे परिवार का राजनीतिक अस्तित्व ही समाप्त हो जाता ।

मूल्यों की राजनीति करने वाली सरकार द्वारा 27-28 फरवरी को दिल्ली से लगभग 100 किलोमीटर दूर जो मृत्यु तांडव हुआ, जो घटना घटी और आज जो घटना घटी यह देश के जनतंत्र के लिए कलंक है। भारतीय जनता पार्टी के साथ यहां पर बैठे हुए हैं । उन्होंने जगह-जगह जाकर यह मांग की थी कि चौटाला इस्तीफ़ा दें लेकिन आज माथुर साहब का भाषण सुनकर बड़ा अफसोस हुआ । उन्होंने जो कुछ चौटाला के बारे में कहा उसको सुनकर अफसोस हुआ । अभी मेरे एक साथी कह रहे थे कि श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी भी दो जगह से चुनाव लड़ी थीं । उन की जानकारी के लिए मैं बताना चाहता हूं कि जब वे दो जगह से चुनाव लड़ी थीं तो वह जनरल इलैक्शन था, कोई बाई-इलैक्शन नहीं था । वह कोई अस्तित्व की लड़ाई नहीं थी, कोई मुख्य मंत्री या प्रधान मंत्री की लड़ाई नहीं थी . . (व्यवधान) । अभी एक साथी ने कहा कि एफ़.आई.आर. श्री अमीर सिंह के बड़े भाई ने लिखवाई । हमारे नेता ने कैसे सारा कानून जानते हुये कहा कि चौटाला को गिरफ्तार कर लिया जाय ? अमीर सिंह के बड़े भाई ने एफ़.आई.आर. लिखवाई है। उनको मालूम नहीं है कि कत्ल किसने करवाया है । लेकिन सारा देश जानता है, सारा राष्ट्र जानता है कि वह कत्ल किसने करवाया है। हमारे नेता ने बिल्कुल सही कहा कि आज देश का बच्चा-बच्चा जानता है कि चौटाला ने अमीर सिंह की हत्या करवाई है । अमीरसिंह का कत्ल चौटाला ने करवाया है । इसलिए हमारे नेता ने सही कहा है कि चौटाला को गिरफ्तार किया जाय । वहां के उप-मुख्य मंत्री श्री बनारसी दास ने कल चुनाव कमिश्नर के पास जाकर कहा कि चुनाव स्थगित मत करवाइए इससे साफ जाहिर है कि चोर की दाढ़ी में तिनका है । अगर वे यह नाटक नहीं करते तो सारा देश कहेगा कि इन लोगों ने अपने कसूर को छिपाने के लिए, अपनी चोरी को छिपाने के लिए कहा कि चुनाव स्थगित नहीं होना चाहिए । ये कानून को जानते हैं और जो पोजीशन है उसको भी जानते हैं । इनको यह नाटक करने की क्या जरूरत थी । दुनियां को दिखाने के लिए ये गिल्टी नहीं हैं और अखबारों में अपनी बात को छपवाने के लिए कहा कि चुनाव स्थगित नहीं होना चाहिए ।

इस सब को देखते हुए यह बिल्कुल स्पष्ट है कि हरियाणा में जनतन्त्र का कत्ल हुआ है आज केन्द्र में भी जनता दल की सरकार है और हरियाणा में भी जनता दल की सरकार है । ये दोनों अलग-अलग नहीं है । यहां पर तो मूल्यों की राजनीति की बात की जाय और सौ किलोमीटर पर जनतंत्र की हत्या की जाय यह देश कैसे बर्दाश्त कर सकता है । हरियाणा में मानव का नहीं, मनुष्य का नहीं दानव का राज है । वहां पर जनतंत्र की हत्या हो चुकी है । मैं आपके माध्यम से मांग करता हूं कि ऐसी सरकार को बर्खास्त किया जाय, वहां के राज्यपाल को बदला जाय और निष्पक्ष ढंग से चनाव कराया जाय । हमारे यहां सबसे बड़ी डेमोक्रेसी है । दुनियां में यह विश्वास बना रहे कि हिन्दुस्तान सबसे बड़ा डेमोक्रेटिक राष्ट्र है, आज यह विश्वास पैदा करने की जरूरत है । मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि जो लोग 27-28 फरवरी को मारे गए हैं और जो तीन व्यक्ति कल मारे हैं, मैं आपके माध्यम से मांग करता हूं कि उनकी विधवाओं को और नके मासूम बच्चों को एक-एक लाख नहीं दो-दो लाख रुपए दिए जायें और उनके परिवार को कम से कम 10 बीघा जमीन दी जाय और उनके बच्चे नौकरी करने लायक हों तो उनको नौकरी दी जाय । मैं ज्यादा समय न लेते हुए यह आग्रह करूंगा कि हरियाणा के अन्दर यह जो ताण्डव हुआ है और जो जनतंत्र की हत्या हुई है इसके लिए शीघ्र से शीघ्र कार्यवाही की जाय ।

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY : Madam, since all of us.... (*Interruptions*). I am concerned about my being an Indan in this great democracy. Madam, we are examining the threat to democracy. Some Members have expressed concern, and rightly so, about the innocent Indians who have been crushed under the wheels of democracy, which we are moving, like this young girl and some other innocents who have died. I want to put the concept of democracy in its correct perspective in this debate... Not as much as an introspection, a looking within ourselves as peoples representatives in this House. We are standing here. We all read the newspapers. All of us instinctively reacted, "My God, this has happened! May be this man is guilty or is he guilty?" Some of us have said, "He is guilty." We have asked for his arrest. Is that democracy ? For one moment, I am not supporting Mr. Chautala or anybody else ; I could not care less who the Chief Minister of Haryana or any other State is where an incident like this has happened. But as a political greenhorn, I do not know the mathematics of politics. So I want to ask this House to enlighten me. Madam, is it not a fact that once you become a Minister or you are made a Minister or a Chief Minister, whatever it is, there is a stipulated time within which you have to fight an electi on to legitimise your post? Is it a fact or not? Normally the time, presumably, is six months. . . . (*Interruptions*)... Yes, it is a period of six months. Now when does this six months' period for Mr. Om Prakash Chautala expire ? On the second of June. I am just asking that.... (*Interruptions*)...I know nothing...I am begging your indulgence...(*Interruptions*)...as a junior, as a green-horn, as an ignorant person, to enlighten me... (*Interruptions*) .Madam, I cannot speak if they interrupt me like this...(*Interruptions*)..

SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY (Assam): Kindly allow her to speak.. (*Interruptions*) .Have you not got the courtesy to allow her to speak?..(*Interruptions*)..

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Madam, I want to know if a man who has the capacity to do what he is supposed to have done is going to slay a person and leave his bullet-riddled body on the road so that we, the whole country, can wake up and see this in the morning newspapers, that the man is going to risk countermanding an election when his term is expiring by June 2, 1990 when he is to seek 2 mandate,... (*Interruptions*) . .Madam, I seek a further clarification. If he was sure to lose there, then does he gain by saying that the election is countermanded?

. . .(*Interruptions*)...Is he goingt o continue there ...(*Interruptions*)...Madam, I am only asking this, I am only searching, before we give a dog a bad name and hang it, it is our responsibility, of all of us, to find out the truth .(*Interruptions*)... It may not be you people who have committed the murder, why are you worried? It may not be we who have committed it but what is worse is that there may be a third force which is indulging in this kind of political exercise. "Bringing about destabilisation, foreign hands"—these are a your stogans. I cannot use them..(*Interruptions*).. My Prime Minister was your Leader for so many days..(*Interruptions*). Madam, if a crime has been committed, there has to be a motive behind it. What can the motive be for Mr. Chautala whose reputation has already suffered in the

[Shrimati Renuka Chowdhary]
earlier Meham incident, to have the audicity to do something like that at this juncture? Why does he do this at this juncture? Do you mean to say that he lacks political acumen to this extent that by murdering a candidate and leaving his body on the road, the bullet-riddled body of whatever you may call —maybe his dummy candidate, maybe his rival candidate—and whatever reasons you may atttribute, he will take the risk of doing this when his own term is going to expire soon? So, Madam, we have to examine the motive behind it. Who stands to benefit if Mr. Chautala cannot seek a mandate by the second of June? Who stands to benefit if he cannot continue as Chief Minister. And who stands to benefit if some such incident is going to happen in some other constituency? Where is the guarantee that something like this will not happen in the other constituency? And, Madam, he will lose... (*Interruptions*) ...Who stands to gain? ...(*Interruptions*) ...Who stands to benefit? ...(*Interruptions*)...Let us have all the facts. Let all of us, as Members of this House, look into these matters. I am all for an impartial probe and I join most of my colleagues here who have demanded that we have to have an impartial probe, and if it is proved beyond doubt that Mr. Chautala was involved in this, then let it be on record that I will be the person to challenge him (*Interruptions*).. I will be the first person to join you in whatever action you want to be taken. All the people, Madam, on that side, I think are with me on this .(*Interruptions*) ..because this business of democracy, the death of democracy and the murder of democracy, all these things we know. जितने भी शब्द हैं सब डिक्शनरी में इस्तेमाल कर रहे हैं। ...(*Interruptions*). In Andhra Pradesh, they toppled our Government in 1983, then in Assam and then in Jammu and Kashmir, and all this democratic exercise which you indulged in we all know...(*Interruptions*)...Well, twenty people died at that time and I could not have learnt a better less in democracy... (*Interruptions*)...We were all accused of so many things. But the people of this nation stood by us...(*Interruptions*) .And, with God as my witness, I promise you, I promise you on my flag, as my religion—this place is the place of my workship because it is is the place to which Indians look up where the wheels of democracy move, move from the people and back to the people—that if it is proved beyond doubt, I will be the first one to join my colleagues in whatever action you propose, But, till then, let us not indulge like *unpaids* in accusations (*Interruptions*) ...Till then, let us not accuse, let us not sit on judgement and let us not come to conclusions and let us not raise accusing fingers. It speaks very poorly of all of us. I won't want to be assocaited with this. Please examine the facts and then come to conclusions. Until then, let us not indulge in nferences, insinuations, accusations, which are not conclusions... (*Interruptions*)...Thank you, Madam.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, Mr. Bhandare.

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE (Maharasthra): Madam Deputy Chairman, as I rise to speak, I hang my neck in shame.

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER: Not head?

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE: Well, it is shoking that this could happen for a second time in Meham. The politics of bullets is replacing the politics of baots. The greatest boast of India, the biggest pride of India, is democracy, the largest democracy in the world, and the most important aspect of that democracy is that over 400 million voters participate in the elections from the age of 18 onwards, and it has been the envy of the world that the elections in our country have been free and fair and that we have been able to change the direction of the Government, to change the Government and to vring about changes through peaceful means, through the ballot. It is very very regrettable—I do not want to use strong words; I hope the lady Member who spoke just now will wait for some time because she will come to know that I am going to agree with what she has said—and there is no doubt that this mayhem in Meham has really brought the entire country to great distress and also disgrace. Now, I can understand if this happens for the first time. But this has happened within a matter of a few weeks and that is why is it is extremely regrettable.

Now, let us look into this. Now, this has happened for a second time. Unfortunately, the lady Member is not here; she has gone to take a glass of water. But I want to know as to how many of the Members sitting on the other side were of the opinion that, after what happened, after the disgrace of Meham, Mr. Om Prakash Chautala, should go. Please raise your hand, anyone who said that he should not go and should continue. I am glad that no hand is raised...(*Interruptions*) I am proud of you...

SHRI J. S. RAJU (Tamil Nadu): Don't ask us to raise our hands. It is a disgrace to ask such a thing.

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER: He accepts that we should not be proud of them.

DR. NAGEN SAIKIA (Assam): You are making the House so cheap. Don't repeat that.

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE: I was asking...

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN (Maharasitra) Hand is not our symbol Hand is your symbol.

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANTBHANDARE I was indeed proud of them when they look such a principled stand on a matter, on so sensitive a matter, on so important a matter. But to my great dismay, to my great disappointment, Prime Minister Vishwanathji, who speaks about value-based politics, did not utter a word in the matter. I think his values are enriched when he keeps *maun vrat*. But I think ad he then spoken and had the ruling hparty then followed the wishes of the overwhelming majority of its members of a:king Om Prakash Chautala, the Chief Minister, to go, today we would not have been faced with this situation: the situation is indeed very grave. And the House has risen to the occasion by suspending, firstly, the Question Hour, and, secondly, even the Private Members' business on Friday...

[The Vice-Chairman (Shri Bhuvenesh Chaturvedi) in the Chair]

That shows the concern of the House, that this house mirrors the poblic opinion in a very positive way Therefore, when we debste here, let us reflect that public opinion fully. And that public opinion today says that if there was no inaction on the part of the Prime Minister, there would not have been an occasion for us to discuss this serious matter today. And on the top of it you find, after all this has happened, you find the hypocrisy of a group of Ministers from Haryana going to the Election Commission and requesting it saying you hold in the face of Section 52 which requires it to be countermanded. Why I say this is that even at that time your Government - and I am accusing you fairly and squarely - you pressurised the Election Commission not to go in for a repoll. You insisted on countermanding of the election. Now, this order of countermanding is totally illegal. This order of countermanding the first election in Meham is totally unconstitutional. And do you know that Amir Singh was not a candidate then? And if only a repoll had been done, today you would have saved the life of Amir Singh and various other lives, where a reign of terror has been unleashed by the Haryana Police in the village. Kindly consider it how serious the situation is. And if you let go these things, if you let these things slip off your hand, then you must blame yourself. Don't blame if some people start calling that this is not Janta Front Government but this is Janta Flop Government. Now, honourable Mrs. Chowdhury spoke of motives. I think she has gone to drink a glass of water. but probably, anyway, I had hoped ...

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI (Maharasthra): She wanted to avoid you.

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE: I had requested her to be present here. She has chosen to remain absent.

Now, I will deal with her point. She pretends to be innocent She knows that by the 2nd of June if Om Prakash Chautala is not elected he will cease to be the Chief Minister. But what is at stake is very, very obvious, because a vacancy has been created in another constituency, Darba Kalan. If he seemed to be so safe, he could have said: why should there be a vacancy of Darba Kalan? Why should he on the same day choose to contest from two different places in the same State, and that too, a bye-election? That really shows what the motive is: "I must get it at any cost." That seems to be the motto for his election. Now, consider for a moment– and it is not only a probability or a possibility; it is almost certeainly after what has happened at the last election-- that Om Prakash Chautala was going to lose this election in Meham and even if he won at Darba Kalan where did he stand?The people would not have then allowed him to take rufuge behind the constitutional provision which says that you must get elected with a period of six months to continue in the Cabinet or to be the Chief Minister, for that matter. They would have said: well, you have no right to be the Chief Minister of Haryana as long as one constituency has rejected you. How could he face those consecuences? And I tell you that this is like Sherlock Holme's mystery or O' Henry's twist that with one stone he has not only hit two birds but has hit many birds. For instance, he has got the whole election countermanded. So, Meham is mayhemed He need not worry as to what happened to Meham any more. He need not worry and does not have to see the sight of thousands and thousands of voters pouring out on the day of the poll and queueing up to vote for Mr. Dangi That is one thing Secondly, in the process he has got Dangi fairly tied up behind bars now. An FIR has been lodged against Mr. Dangi. I

[Shri Murlidhar Chandrakant Bhandare]

was greatly surprised when one Member —I do not know his name from the other side said that Amir Singh was a dummy candidate of Chautala. We all know that once the nomination paper is accepted all dummy candidates withdraw from the contest. Now, I want to know, if he was dummy candidate of Chautala why did he not withdraw after the nomination paper was accepted, before the date of withdrawal? I think the motive is too obvious and the public will know how to judge the issue. The needle of suspicion point only towards one man. But the difficulty is that you are not prepared to accept it I am glad my friend Chimanbhai Mehta — I hope he intervenes; he is a Member of this House—talks of value-based politics. What has he done to keep the criminals out of politics, by giving tickets to hundreds of them in the last election?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): I continue to be the same, original..

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE: Very good. I am happy. But please advise your Prime Minister that he should not give ticket to a candidate whose constituency he cannot visit because that candidate is a criminal. It is a hypocrisy of the highest order, and I tell you that far more serious consequences are going to be there and the ruling party will pay very heavily for deviating from basic values in public life and in democracy. I have no doubt at all that the people will judge not only the weakness but also the hypocrisy of the Prime Minister.

At this stage, I want to make one suggestion and I do not know why we should not do it immediately. I have said it more than once before. For God's sake, amend Section 52 which says that if the death of a candidate occurs, the election will be countermanded. Please restrict it to the candidate support by political parties recognised by the Election Commission. The death of any independent candidate should have no impact or effect on the election. In fact, if you remember, there was an Ordinance which was enacted for Puhjab. But it Lapsed and it never became the law. But even now it, is not too late to have the that amendment so that we save not only human lives but we also save elections.

Well, many people have said, in great detail, about the facts of the case. But I am totally disappointed and before I resume my seat. I join in the demand which has been almost universal, for an impartial inquiry, for an independent inquiry, outside the Executive or outside the Haryna Government. I support the demand for an parliamentary probe. Let a committee of the Members of Parliament of all parties be constituted which will report on this very serious misdemeanour and an incident which, as has rightly been said, tarnishes our democracy in a big way. I support the demand for dismissal of Chautala Ministry. I support the demand for removal of the Governor because everybody knows*

*So, the Governor must go. The Chief Minister must go. The Presidential rule must be brought in with a fresh Governor. For this, you have also to extend or countermand or revoke. I do not know. There is no provision. It can only be postponed. I think it is a fit occasion that the Darba Kalan elections should also be postponed. Let us not waver in this hour of trial. Let us not judge the fortunes of a party. But let us look to that great glory of free and open society that our country is. Let us submerge our petty, personal and selfish political interests for the good and greatness of our motherland.

PROF. SOURENDRA BHATTACHARJEE Madam, *Interruptions*) Madam is so all pervasive that it sometimes creates some illusion. I beg to be executed for that.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, The question is what were the dark hints made by Mr. Bhandare who, I assume, has followed Mrs. Renuka Chowdhury in drinking water. What he said is that the bye-election at Darba Kalan also should not be allowed to be held. In what manner is there any dark hint? Throughout the discussion, it seems that the Congress(I) is a party which is above everything. That the Congress (I) is also involved in the bye-election at Meham, at no point of time, has been referred to. Let me say at the very outset that though the Left parties support the National Front Government, it does not necessarily mean that if there is any shady deal or any action which is bad, it is our obligation to support it. And what has happened at Meham is undoubtedly a very bad one. That particular constituency has already earned a very bad reputation, as we all know, because of the booth-capturing, terrorism and other things happening in that constituency during its first aborted election. It was later countermanded

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair

by the Election Commission on which decision there also has been much clamour because if the repoll was ordered, the situation would have been different. Now it seems, it has been the lot of Meham to have the election countermanded again and again.

The present Chief Minister of Haryana, to put it very midly, earned a bad name during the first bye-election which he contested and which was countermanded practically for what he did with the help of the Administration fully. As he has been pointed out earlier, he was to be elected to the Haryana Assembly before the 2nd of June. He filed his nomination this time in two constituencies—Meham and Darba Kalan. And last night we came to learn that because of a murder, the Meham bye-election has again been countermanded. This is something of a very peculiar situation for which the Chief Minister cannot escape the responsibility, whether he was contesting or not, whether he was responsible or not for what happened during the earlier bye-election. That is the first point for which Mr. Om Prakash Chautala, a former colleague of our in this House should bear the burden. But that is not all. Certain other things, very suspicious things have followed. We come to know from the statement of no less a person than Mr. Devi Lal that this Amir Singh who was murderd was really a dummy candidate for Mr. Om Prakash Chautala. It is in the press. We do not know. The people of Meham would be able to tell whether he was presented as such. In our experience, no dummy candidate continues after scrutiny. But in any case, there was an attempt to involve Mr. Anand Singh Dangi, the main opponent of Mr. Om Prakash Chautala in the byelections, in this murder, and the village in which he lives was surrounded. It is alleged that the police firing led to the death of two people. By all indications, these are all serious matters, and there are two parts of it.

One is, investigation of the crime committed. Investigation into the action of the police, or, the misdeeds of the police, in the village of Mr. Dangi.

The other part is, enquiry into the total affair. Here, I fully agree with Mr. Gurudas Das Gupta that it should be an impartial enquiry, having nothing to do with the Haryana Government. After all, successive Governments in Haryana, run by the party from this side, or, by the party from that side, have earned great 'reputation' or, you may call it great 'notoriety'—starting with Bansi Lal and then Bhajan Lal, even Devi Lal and now, Mr. Om Prakash Chautala. This is the land of *aya rams* and *gaya rams*. We all know. The point is, whatever happens there is non-conformist. Therefore, the enquiry should have nothing to do with the Haryana Government. If it is found that the Chief Minister had anything to do with it, action has to be taken against him also.

SHRI AJIT P. K. JOGI: How to establish ?

PROF. SOURENDRA BHATTACHARJEE: *Through an impartial enquiry*, as was suggested here.

SHRI AJIT P. K. JOGI: If he remains there as Chief Minister, how can you hold an impartial enquiry?

SHRI J. S. RAJU: Don't cross-examine him.

PROF. SOURENDRA BHATTACHARJEE: There are ways and ways. Those who know how to enquire, can enquire.

The other part is, while we support fully the demand for an impartial enquiry and we consider whatever happened yesterday and day-before-yesterday in Meham constituency as very serious, it should, at the same time, be said that the party which is creating a furore in this House for preservation of democracy is the party which was responsible for strangulating democracy during the Emergency between 1975 and 1977 and imposing draconian laws in the country during the entire period of its rule. The question of hypocrisy of the Prime Minister does not lie well with Mr. Bhandare who was showing hypocrisy throughout his speech. Therefore, it is really not the province of the Congress (I) which has performed many such misdeeds. But I would request the National Front Government at the Centre to take the initiative in this matter and see to it that the odium which is sticking because of the action of a handful of people is removed.

Thank you.

4 00P.M.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर (मध्य प्रदेश) : आदरणीय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, जब से यह जन-मोर्चा सरकार ने सत्ता संभाली है, भारत के लोकतंत्र पर बराबर हमला हो रहा है । ... (व्यवधान) .... गोवा, मिजोरम और नागालैण्ड में जो हुआ है, और जिस प्रकार से हुआ है, उसको तो बर्दाश्त किया जा सकता है, लेकिन जब प्रजातंत्र की हत्या करने के लिए कत्ल किए जायें तो यह बात असह्य हो जाती है और हर हालत में, हर प्रकार से इसकी खिलाफ़त होनी ही चाहिए ।

[श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर]

मुख्य मंत्रियों के चुनाव की परंपरा प्रजातांत्रिक प्रणाली में आप और हम सब जानते हैं कि प्रदेश की राजधानियों में विधायकों के बीच में उनका चुनाव होता है लेकिन जब से यह सो काल्ड राष्ट्रीय मोर्चा सरकार सत्ता में आई है, दिल्ली से हुक्म होने लगे है मुख्य मंत्रियों के मामले में, चाहे मध्य प्रदेश के मुख्य मंत्री की बात हो या चंचित चौटाला जी की बात हो । हद तो वहां हो जाती है जब प्रदेश की राजधानी में जाना भी जरूरी न माना गया हो और ताजपोशी दिल्ली कर दी गई हो, दिसम्बर, 1989 में, जहां इतने विधायक भी उपस्थित नहीं थे, जितने ताजपोशी में, जो एक प्रदेश का मुख्य मंत्री तय करने के लिए आवश्यक होते है। कानून की धज्जियां उड़ाना तो उसी दिन शुरु हो गया था। हरियाणा में जो कुछ हो रहा था देवी लाल जी के समय में उसको चौटाला जी ने और आगे बढ़ाया।

सब जानते हैं कि फ़रीदाबाद के चुनाव में क्या हुआ ? किस प्रकार से स्वतंत्रता संग्राम के सैनानियों के ऊपर गोलियां बरसाई, उनको वोट डालने से रोका गया और दूसरे मतदाताओं को उनके अधिकारों से वंचित किया गया ? ...(व्यवधान)...

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, हम बहुत शांति से सुन रह हैं, इसी शांति से इन्हें भी सनना चाहिए । हाऊस का ऐसे ही वातावरण रहना चाहिए ।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) ऐसी ही आशा करिए ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : हम तो आशा करते हैं, पर धैर्य रखना चाहिए इन्हें भी ।

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : आदरणीय उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं निवेदन कर रहा था कि फ़रीदाबाद के उप चुनाव में जो हुआ है, वह हुआ लेकिन चौटाला जी के आने के बाद वह नहीं थमा । भ्रष्टाचार की बात आज हम यहां पर करने के लिए नहीं खड़े हुए हैं, न ही हम डिजनीलण्ड की चर्चा करने के लिए खड़े हुए हैं, आज तो चर्चा का विषय है कि फ़रीदाबाद में जिस तरह से मतदाताओं को आतंक के द्वारा अपना मतदान करने से रोंका गया था, महम में उससे भी कहीं दस कदम आगे बढ़कर यह काम किया गया और आशय यह है कि इन्हीं कारणों की वजह से उस चुनाव को स्थगित किया गया, वहां री-पोलिंग के आदेश दिए गए । यह इस बात का साक्ष्य है कि वहां पर बहुत बड़ी संख्या में हत्याएं हुई थीं । चौटाला जी अगर यह सब काम न करें तो उनका पुराना इतिहास झुठला जाएगा, जो उनसे जुड़ा हुआ है ।

1978 में यही ओम प्रकाश चौटाला जब हांग-कांग से आ रहे थे तो दो सूट-केस बड़े-बड़े भर कर घड़ियां तस्करी करके भारत ला रहे थे । पकड़े गये तो देवी लाल जी को घोषणा करनी पड़ी कि ओम प्रकाश नामक व्यक्ति से मेरा कोई संबंध नहीं है । यह सार्वजनिक घोषणा उन्होंने की थी । . . . (व्यवधान) . . .

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : उस बात का तो अदालत में निर्णय हो चुका है ।

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: You said, you are not interfering. Why are you interfering now?

श्री राम अवधेश सिंह : महम से इस का क्या सम्बन्ध है ?

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : उस व्यक्ति से सम्बन्ध है, जिस व्यक्ति की वजह से महम में यह दर्दनाक घटनाएं हो रही हैं . . . (व्यवधान) . . .

SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY: Don't go back to history. Speak about the present.

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: But is it not a fact that he made such a declaration? Did he declare it or not?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): But why are you coming to his help? Please conclude now.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : महोदय, यह अपने आप में अटल सत्य है कि देवीलाल जी ने इस बात की घोषणा की थी कि उनका ओम प्रकाश चौटाला से कोई संबंध नहीं है। यह क्यों करना पड़ा था मैं माननीय सदस्या से कहना चाहता हूं कि बात की गहराई में जाना होगा। यह सवाल सिर्फ आपकी, हमारी बात का नहीं है, यह सवाल देश के प्रजातंत्र का है। इसको कृपया गंभीरता से लीजिए।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Please conclude.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : फरवरी 1989 में आठ लोग बेरी गांव में मारे गए क्योंकि चौटाला जी के पुत्र अभय सिंह की रक्षा करनी थी और उन लोगों में मरने वाले कौन थे? मरने वाले वह लोग थे जो देश की सुरक्षा करने में रत हैं। हमारे देश की सीमा के प्रहरी सिपाहियों की ड्रेस अभय सिंह को पहनाई गई और विशेष सुरक्षा प्रदान करके उनको सुरक्षित स्थान पर पहुंचाया गया और उसके लिए--अभय सिंह जिसका रिकार्ड सब जानते हैं, होटल में वह क्या करते हैं, दूसरी जगह क्या करते हैं, उन्होंने अपने घर में क्या किया है, सुप्रिया की मौत कैसे हुई, मैं उस चर्चा में नहीं जाना चाहता।

श्री कृष्ण कुमार दीपक : आप महम के बारे में बोल रहे हैं।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Please conclude.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : जी हां, बात महम से संबंधित है। मैं निवेदन कर रहा हूं कि यहीं मिस्टर अभय सिंह से मिलवाने के लिए जब अमीर सिंह जी को बुलाया गया और अगर यह गलत है तो खंडन करें... **(व्यवधान)**

श्री कृष्ण कुमार दीपक : मैं इसका खंडन करता हूं। बिल्कुल गलत है। Abhey Singh was not in Meham.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : मैं पूछना चाहता हूं क्या मुंडाल गांव महम विधान सभा क्षेत्र में आता है? नहीं आता है। मुंडाल में उनकी लाश कैसे मिली और वह कौन व्यक्ति था जो सुबह... **(व्यवधान)**

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Finish in a half a minute.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : मैं तीन मिनट लूंगा।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): You cannot get.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : वह कौन व्यक्ति था जो उनकी मृत्यु के दिन सुबह उनको घर से बुलाकर ले गया और उनको मुंडाल ही क्यों ले जाया गया? रात में उन्होंने किसके साथ खाना खाया और कितने बजे खाया और उनकी मौत कितने बजे हुई। महोदय, उसी दिन मुंडाल में सो-कालड मुख्यमंत्री की आम सभा भी थी... **(व्यवधान)**

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Please conclude.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : वह आम सभा उस दिन होने वाली थी। उस दिन उन्हें बुलाया गया और रात में उन्हें मारा गया। इन्क्वायरी के बाद ये सारी बातें सामने आ जाएंगी। मैं यही तमाम प्रश्न आपके माध्यम से सरकार के सामने रखना चाहता हूं ताकि इन पर ध्यान दिया जाए और इनकी जांच करके सदन को अवगत कराया जाए।

आदरणीय उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, चूंकि आप समय बिल्कुल नहीं दे रहे हैं इसलिए मैं सिर्फ यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि आनन्द सिंह दांगी और उसका पूरा गांव खतरे में है। वहां महिलाओं ने पुलिस के सामने मोर्चा ले रखा है। पत्रकारों ने बताया कि उनसे वहां के

[श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर]

जो सिविल ड्रेस में सिपाही थे या दूसरे सी.आई.डी. के अधिकारी थे, उन्होंने कहा कि चले जाइए, इस गांव का सफाया होने वाला है। मैं आपके माध्यम से होम मिनिस्टर साहब से मांग करूंगा कि वे उस गांव के सारे लोगों को सुरक्षा प्रदान करें और उस गांव की वास्तविक स्थिति क्या है, कृपया हमको बताएं।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Please conclude.

श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर : इसके साथ ही मैं मांग करूंगा कि जब यह तय हो चुका है कि वह न केवल प्रजातंत्र बल्कि मनुष्यताओं और सारे लोगों का हत्यारा है तो उस चौटाला को क्यों वहां पर मुख्यमंत्री बनाकर रखा हुआ है। यह हर प्रकार से सिद्ध हो चुका है, चाहे ग्रान ब्रिगेड के माध्यम से हो या उनके अपने जो विधायक साथी थे—रघु यादव, उन लोगों के स्टेटमेंट से यह साबित हो चुका है कि वह भ्रष्ट व्यक्ति हैं। उनको हटाने में क्या तकलीफ है? मेरा निवेदन है उन दो पार्टियों से जिनकी वजह से यह सो-कॉल्ड गवर्नमेंट चल रही है, बी.जे.पी. और कम्युनिस्ट भाइयों से कि क्यों नहीं वे इस मुद्दे पर अपना सपोर्ट विद-ड्रा करते हैं कि उनको हटाया जाए। यह मांग अभी कम्युनिस्ट भाइयों ने भी की है और बी.जे.पी. के भाइयों ने भी की है। मैं मांग करता हूं कि इनको हटाया जाए और यदि नहीं हटाया जाता है तो मैं आपसे मांग करता हूं कि आप अपनी सपोर्ट विद-ड्रा कर लीजिए तो तुरंत वह काम हो जाएगा जो हम और आप चाहते हैं। धन्यवाद।

SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VENKATRAMAN (Tamil Nadu): I share the feelings of the hon. Members on the gruesome murder that has taken place in Meham, but on the question of facts, I beg to differ from the Members who are sitting in the Opposition, apart from what has been stated in the papers, which they have extracted and have given extra information. I would like to submit that there is not an iota of truth in their statements. A senior Member asked that the Chief Minister should step down or that he should be dismissed and that the Governor should be recalled. I would submit that when there is not an iota of evidence to connect Mr. Chautala or the Governor for having any hand in the matter, this demand of theirs is not at all justified. With regard to the facts of the case I would like to quote the report from the *Indian Express:*

"The body of Amir Singh, one of the 29 candidates for the Meham by-election, was found on the Mundhal-Bhiwani bypass on Thursday morning. He had been shot through the head.

Amir Singh's blood-soaked body was discovered at 7 a.m. near Mundhal village."

Now, the incident has taken place and an FIR has been lodged by the brother of the deceased, Pratap Singh. In the FIR lodged with the police station names found ther in ar those of one Mr. Dangi, his brother Dharam Pal, Sudhir *alias* Vallu and Rajinder Singh, as accused. These are four persons who have been named as accused by the brother of the deceased. Therefore, if my learned friends on the other aide are able to point out that in the FIR names of Mr. Chautala or his associates are there or the police people have completely burked that document or they have not taken any action, then there is a murder, of democracy. But, Sir, it is not so in this particular case. Sir, justice must not only be done, but it must appear that it has been done. We have to examine impartially whether the machinery has worked perfectly all right. After the FIR investigations will start. Persons who have been implicated have a motive behind this affair. I again quote *the Indian Express* from page 9 to show what is the motive of the accused for doing this.

"According to Superintendent of Police the FIR lodged at the Bhiwani Police station registered cases under Section 364 and 302. It does not appear to have surprised anybody. The news was received in the Village in the morning and there is also a motive as between Mr. Dangi and deceased in the past. They live in the same village, Madina. Dangi fired on Amir Singh's house on February 11. A case eas registered under Section 307 at the Meham police station. He had earlier threatened Amir Singh, on March 13, when he insisted on celebrating Holi, despite Mr. Dangi's appeal not to observe the festival in view of the deaths in the constituency on February 28, Mr. Tomar said."

This is the statement made by the Superintendent of Police. Therefore, there is a motive for the crime. On the other hand the motive that is adduced by the learned Members on the opposition benches does not find place in the report itself. Members plead that he was only a dummy candidate and all that. I will read one more line from *the Indian Express:*

"Amir Singh was considered to be Mr. Chautala's Key man in the Constituency." (*Interruptions*)

What is it? He was also reading. I am also readng from my paper. There is not point for a comment.

DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: You can quote one or two lines, not the whole paper.

SHRI S. VIDUTHALAI VIRUMBI (Tamil Nadu): For each and every point he was quoting from his paper. Now he is disturbing him.

SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VENKATRAMAN: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, my friends opposite are very much disturbed because I am bringing out certain vital facts. So far what they have stated is only off the record of the incidents. I am quoting from certain newspapers which they cannot dispute because facts have been given here. As soon as the incident occurred the investigating machinery went to the village. They found out who was the first accused person. I also understand that three lives have been lost. The accused will be punished howsoever influential he may be. Actually what happened was that only innocent persons were killed. So it cannot be aid that democracy has been murdered and all that, as pointed out by one of the lady Members. It was said that any man who wants to contest is done away with. He is not such a powerful man. As we can see from the newspapers he was a man who was canvassing for Chautala. (*Time-bell* rings) Out of ten Members from my party I am the only Member who is speaking on behalf of my party. I may be given two or three minutes more .(*Interruptions*).. Therefore, whatever they have said is only of the record. Somebody from that side said that they met Mr. Ajit Singh, the Prime Minister and all that. I do not know where from they got this information. I can also say so many things after hearing rumours. Therefore, it cannot be said that democracy is at peril. They only want to politicise the situation. That is what I want to say. A person who is found guilty, certainly, he should be punished. We are now, following the jurisprudence which has been given to us that let thousands of criminals go unpunished but not one innocent man should be punished. The investigation is going on and they will find out who are behind it. Some senior Member said that there should be an impartial enquiry and the case should be handed over to the CBI. After handing over the case to the CBI they will say that we do not have faith in CBI because they were involved in phone tapping. I agree with them that there should be an impartial enquiry and the accused should be brought to book. But I differ with them when they demanded that the Governor should be recalled and the Chief Minister should be dismissed. There is no need to take such a step because there is no evidence, there is no rationale behind it. With these words, I resume my seat.

DR. NAGEN SAIKIA: I want to know whether my name is there or not. My name was ahead of some Members and you have not yet called me. I want to record my protest.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Why are you protesting? Your name is there.

श्री राम अवधेश सिंह : उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस बहस में जिसमें आज प्राइवट मैम्बर्स का समय लेकर लगे हुए हैं उससे उम्मीद थी कि बिल्कुल निष्पक्ष बहस होगी और सचमुच में मूल्यों पर आधारित राजनीति की बात होगी लेकिन दोनों पक्ष--सत्ता पक्ष और प्रतिपक्ष--अतिवाद के शिकार हैं। एक हत्या कराने का आरोप लगा रहा है और दूसरा बिल्कुल पाक, साफ होने की सफाई दे रहा है। लेकिन मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि हत्या कराने की जिम्मेदारी और हत्या न रोक पाने की जिम्मेदारी में फर्क क्या है? मैं कह सकता हूं कि कोई भी विवेकशील आदमी सच्चाई से छाती पर हाथ रख कर इस बात को नहीं कह सकता है कि हत्या कराने के सबूत मिले। हत्या की बात कहना और वह भी जिम्मेदारी से, एक बहुत बड़ा अभियोग होता है क्योंकि हत्या के बाद हत्या की जिम्मेदारी लग जाये और सही मायनों में लग जाय तो उसको भी फांसी हो सकती है। इसलिए मैं इतनी बात कह सकता हूं कि हत्या न रोक पाने के लिए चौटाला सरकार पूरी तरह से जिम्मेवार है। अपने बयान

[श्री राम अवधेश सिंह]

में श्री ओम प्रकाश चौटाला ने कहा था कि हत्या की आशंका थी और डांगे भी बोलते हैं कि हमको भी आशंका थी। जब दोनों पक्षों को हत्या की आशंका थी तो आखिर हत्या रोकने की जिम्मेवारी डांगे पर है या चौटाला पर है। चूंकि चौटाला की सरकार है और डांगे एक व्यक्ति हैं, इसलिए व्यक्ति और सरकार की जिम्मेवारी में फर्क करना होगा। इसलिए मैं इस बात का अभियोग लगाता हूं कि चौटाला सरकार जानबूझकर कर हत्या रोकने में विफल रही है। उस पर हत्या को रोकने की विफलता की जिम्मेदारी जाती है।

मूल्यों की राजनीति चलाने की बात इधर के लोग भी करते हैं और उधर के लोग भी करते हैं। अमेठी इस बात का सबूत है कि मूल्यों की राजनीति चलाने का हक उनको भी नहीं है। दो बार एक क्षेत्र में पुलिस और गुण्डों से चुनाव स्थगित करवाया गया और इसके बावजूद भी इसके लिए मुख्य मंत्री को निर्भीकतापूर्वक यह नहीं कहा गया कि वे इसके लिए जिम्मेवार हैं। इसलिए मूल्यो की राजनीति करने का हक इनको भी नहीं है। ये लोग हत्या रोकने में असफल रहे हैं, इसलिए इनको भी मूल्यों की राजनीति चलाने की बात कहने का हक नहीं है ...(**व्यवधान**)। डा० राममनोहर लोहिया ने हम लोगों को बताया है कि किस प्रकार से मूल्यों की राजनीति की जाती है। केरल की सरकार ने गोली चलाई तो उन्होंने कहा कि तुमने गोली चलाई है, इसलिए इस्तीफा दो। अपनी सरकार को उन्होंने केरल में तुड़वा दिया। इसी प्रकार से बिहार में बिन्देश्वरी मंडल को कहा कि तुम इस्तीफा दो क्योंकि तुम दिल्ली के लिए चुनकर आये हो, बिहार में मंत्री नहीं रह सकते हो। इस बिना पर बिहार की सरकार टूट गई। मूल्यों की राजनीति करने वालों को कुछ पाक-साफ चेहरा रखना चाहिए और व्यवहार भी रखना चाहिए।

महोदय, मैं एक दो बातें और कहना चाहता हूं। जब यह बात तय थी कि हत्या की आशंका है तो उम्मीदवारों के लिए बाडी गार्ड की व्यवस्था की जा सकती है। पांच या दस जितने भी जरूरी हों उतने रखे जा सकते हैं, एस्कार्ट रखा जा सकता है। जब मंत्री के लिए एस्कार्ट हो सकता है तो उम्मीदवारों के लिए क्यों नहीं हो सकता है? बीस, तीस या पचास जितने भी उम्मीदवार हों उनके लिए एस्कार्ट की व्यवस्था की जा सकती है। जब उनको खुद आशका है और भयग्रस्त हो कर दो दो जगहों से चुनाव लड़ रहे है तो उन्हें हत्या रोकने के लिए व्यवस्था करनी चाहिए थी। मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि मेहम से हारने और दूसरी जगह से जीतने में भारी फर्क है। मेहम से हार जाते तो उनकी राजनैतिक नाक कट जाती। इसलिए मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि चौटाला की सरकार को इस्तीफा देना चाहिए। इस बारे में मैं केवल एक मिनट का समय लूंगा, इससे ज्यादा नहीं लूंगा। यह जो घटना घटी है यह बहुत खतरनाक है। इस घटना से सबसे बड़ी चिंता यह है कि निर्दलीय उम्मीदवारों को मार मार कर आगे भविष्य में कहीं सैकड़ों चुनाव स्थगित करने की महामारी इस देश में न फैले। अगर यह महामारी इस देश में फैल गई तो इस देश में जम्हूरियत का भविष्य अंधकारमय हो जायेगा। निर्दलीय उम्मीदवार सैकड़ों की संख्या में रहेंगे और किसी को अगर, आज आप इन पर आरोप लगा रहे हैं और ये बचाव कर रहे हैं लेकिन कल इस पक्ष के लोग जब सत्ता में आयेंगे तो वह आप की जगह बचाव करेंगे, ऐसा यदि सैकड़ों जगह किया जायेगा, क्योंकि यह तो इनकी आदत है ऐसा कर...

श्री ईश दत्त यादव : सही कहा आपने।

श्री राम अवधेश सिंह : मैं इधर भी सही कह रहा हूं।

चौटाला को एक मिनट भी सरकार में रहने का नैतिक हक नहीं है। मैं देश की जनता को आह्वाहन करता

हूं कि इस मुद्दे पर चौटाला को बरखास्त किया जाए । इस मामले को लेकर सारे देश में आंदोलन होना चाहिये, नहीं तो आगे चलकर जम्हूरियत का भविष्य खतरे में पड़ जायेगा । इसमें कहीं यह महामारी न फैल जाय कि स्वतंत्र उम्मीदवारों की हत्या करके चुनाव स्थगित कराये जाय । यह बहुत ही खतरनाक खेल है । इसलिये मैं देश की जनता का आह्वान करता हूं कि देश की जनता सड़कों पर आये और इस सरकार को इस्तीफा देने के लिये मजबूर करे, केंद्रीय सरकार को उस सरकार को डिसमिस कराने के लिये मजबूर करें ।

SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA (Karnataka) : Mr. Vice-Chairman, there is no water in Parliament House. Even the supply of tea is stopped because of non-availability of water. This is the state of this Government.

SHRIMAT. MARGARET ALVA (Karnataka) : The Parliamentary Affairs Minister should provide us with tea now.

SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA: It is not available even in the Central Hall they say there is no water in Parliament. House. Evenfor tea there is nowater.

AN HON. MEMBER : The Minister should take note of it.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI JAGDEEP DHANKAR) : It is taken note of.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI : Mr. Vice-Chairman, I am the second victim because I went to the same place where he went to have tea. They said, "There is no tea." I said, "All right. God help them."

SHRI RAJ MOHAN GANDHI (Uttar Pradesh) : Mr. Vice-Chairman, as stand here to speak of this subject, I feel doubly pained. I feel pained by the killings yesterday and I feel doubly pained that we, on both sides of the House,... (*Interruptions*) . have failed to rise above political, partisan considerations. Blood is almost daily shed in our land, innocent blood, and yet, we do do not allow it to irrigate some plant of honestly or some plant of introspection. This is a serious natioal issue. It is not a political issue. I would like to make one point. It has been made by others. I absolutely agree with Ram Awadhesh Singhji. Yes, there was th murder of a candidate and it was no avoided and that certainly can be laid a the door of the Government of Haryana Yes.

But then we go beyond that. When we try to prove here and assert here that the Chief Minister was the man responsible for the murder, we are going beyond our limits and we are violating every canon of law and justice. I have just been shown a picture in the newspaper of the Chief Minister and his son going to the funeral of the man who was murdered. (*Interruptions*)...

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) आप डाईवर्ट मत करिये । आप अपनी बात कहिये ।

श्री राज मोहन गांधी : यह मेरी आदत है लोगों को सुनने की ।

Let us not judge. Let us say what we feel, but let us not pronounce judgements; that is not our function here. I want in particular, to appeal to our own side, I think, it is fair for us to ask whether we, in our party, and we, on this side, have. learnt all the lessons which we should have learnt. It ws said by some speakers on the other side that the Prime Minister was absolutely silent, and that charge is wrong. The Prime Minister in his capacity as President of the Janata dal, wrote to the Election Commissioner after the first terrible incident took place and demanded, on behalf of our party, a repoll, a total repoll for the whole constituency. He was not silent. And when on an incident that was not wholly dissimilar... (*Interruptions*) earlier in Amethi, I had the privilege of inviting the President of the Congress Party to join me in asking for a Complete repoll, that invitation was rejected.

Mr. Vice-Chairman, I want our party to look at this incident against the background of what is happening in the whole country. And I want to appeal to the other side : Looking at what is happening today in our country as a whole in Punjab, in Kashmir, in Assam and in so many other parts of India, must we continue to fight this partisan battle? Can we allow such blood-shed, this daily sheddin of innocent blood ? Can we nt come together at leamet on some ..(*Interruptions*)...

SOME HON. MEMBERS : Initation should come from your side first

SHRI RAJ MOHAN GANDHI : That is why I am appealing to my party. Thank you very much and I hope that some in your party will appeal to your party will appel to your party also. ..(*Interruptions*)..

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Let him conclude.

SHRI RAJ MOHAN GANDHI : Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, today, with this terrible event that took place yesterday, let us at least accept some rules of the game and then let us play the game according to the rules. Can we also have some honest dialogue in this House ?

Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I will sit down in half-a-minute . When we here do not listen to each other, when we in this august House fail to listen to each other and interrupt each other and drown each other's voice, with what kind of strength can we appeal to the people of our country who are taking to the gun, "Don't take to the gun but use the voice of reason and talk, argue and discuss."? When we don't discuss, listen, talk and argue here ? Thank you, Sir.

श्री राम नरेश यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह मेंहम का प्रश्न इतना गम्भीर है कि उसकी गम्भीरता को आज प्रतिपक्ष ने स्वीकार किया है। इसलिये मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि प्रतिपक्ष की यह जिम्मेदारी है कि जब जनतांत्रिक मूल्यों पर खतरा दिखाई पड़े, नैतिक मूल्यों पर खतरा दिखाई पड़े तो आगे आ कर के इन सारे सवालों को देश की जनता के सामने जो यह सर्वोच्च सदन है इसके माध्यम से उठाने का काम करना चाहिये और उस काम को बहुत अच्छे ढंग से किया है।

हमें विश्वास भी है जैसे कि मैं काफी अरसे से सुन रहा हूं, अभी सम्मानित सदस्य राज मोहन गांधी जी भी बोल रहे थे, मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि जब राष्ट्रीय मोर्चे की सरकार सत्ता में आने के पहले भी मूल्यों पर आधारित राजनीति की घोषणा करती रही है, नीतियों, कार्यक्रमों और सिद्धान्तों पर चलने की राजनीति करने की घोषणा करती रही है, उस परिप्रेक्ष्य में महम को देखना पड़ेगा। महम में जो घटना घटी है, यह इस बात का संकेत है कि किस तरह से वह जो हमारा जनतंत्र है, लोकतंत्र है जिसकी जड़ें मजबूत होनी चाहिये उन जड़ों को कमजोर करने की साजिश और कोशिश आज सत्ता में बैठे हुए लोग कर रहे हैं। बहुत बात की जाती है मूल्यों पर आधारित राजनीति की, मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि यही वह सदन है जहां पर कि प्रधान मंत्री जी ने घोषणा की थी कि हम तो सब जगहों पर मूल्यों पर आधारित राजनीति करते हैं। पिछले दिनों मेहम में चुनाव हुआ था फिर विशेष परिस्थितियों में वहां पर जिस तरह से सारी स्थिति पैदा हुई उसमें फिर से रीपोल कराने की आवश्यकता प्रतीत हुई। रीपोल हुआ और वहां पर करीब 10-12 लोगों की हत्या हो गयी। मैं इसलिए कह रहा हूं कि जब उस समय बात आई तो ये मूल्यों पर आधारित राजनीति करने वाले लोगों से कहना चाहता हूं, इस देश के प्रधान मंत्री से कहना चाहता हूं कि उस समय कहां गये थे वे मूल्य जिनके आधार पर राजनीति करने का ढिंढोरा पीटा जाता रहा है। क्यों नहीं रक्षा के लिए कदम उठाया गया ?

उपप्रधान मंत्री देवीलाल जी हैं, उनके लड़के वहां पर मुख्य मंत्री हैं। देवीलाल जी ने उस समय कहा था कि अगर चौटाला पर कोई हाथ उठाया जाएगा तो मैं इस्तीफा दे दूंगा। मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि जनता पार्टी की राष्ट्रीय मोर्चे की पोलिटिकल जो एडवाइजरी कमेटी है उसकी जब बैठक हुई और अजीत सिंह जब लौटकर मेहम से वापस आये और भारतीय जनता पार्टी जो आज इनके साथ है, उसके राष्ट्रीय अध्यक्ष आडवाणी जी ने घोषणा की थी कि चौटाला जी को इस्तीफा दे देना चाहिए। अटल जी नहीं हैं उन्होंने भी कहा था कि इनको इस्तीफा दे देना चाहिए। उस समय जब यह सवाल आया तो देवीलाल जी ने कहा था कि अगर चौटाला पर या हमारी सरकार के ऊपर जो हरियाणा में है हाथ उठाया गया तो हम इस्तीफा दे देंगे। महोदय, जब पोलिटिकल एडवाइजरी कमेटी इस नतीजे पर पहुंची कि वहां पर सचमुच में चुनाव

को प्रक्रिया या जो संवैधानिक प्रक्रिया है, जो मतदाताओं का अधिकार है उसका अधिकार को छीनने की सारी कोशिश हुई है, लोकतंत्र की हत्या करने की जो सारी कोशिश हुई है और हत्या की गयी है हम में तो उसके परिणामस्वरूप देवीलाल जी से जब बात हुई तो उन्होंने इस्तीफा दे दिया । यह मैं इसलिए कहना चाहता हूं कि मूल्यों पर आधारित राजनीति करने वाले प्रधानमंत्री जी ने देवीलाल जी के, उप प्रधानमंत्री के उस इस्तीफे पर घुटने टेक दिये । इसके बाद जब चर्चा आती है तो कहते है उन्होंने तो पत्र लिखा था इलेक्शन कमीशन को फिर से इलेक्शन कराने के लिए, लेकिन सारी इसके पीछे यह बात थी । इसीलिए यह बात मैं कहना चाहता हूं । उस समय जिन लोगों की हत्या हुई, फिर उसके बाद इस कड़ी में कोई कार्यवाही नहीं हुई और इस चुनाव से एक सप्ताह पहले जिस तरह से चार चार लोगों की महोदया हत्या हो जाए, महोदय यह हमारे राष्ट्र के लिए बहुत कलंक की बात है, यह शर्मनाक बात है और जनतंत्र की जिस तरह से हत्या की जा रही है उससे एक खतरा पैदा हो रहा है । इसीलिए उस खतरे को ध्यान में रखते हुए अब सवाल खड़ा होता है कि चौटाला जी मुख्य मंत्री हैं, उनके खिलाफ कौन कार्यवाही करेगा इसमें । उधर बैठे हुए सम्मानित सदस्य कहते हैं कि वहां पर कार्यवाही होनी चाहिए । कौन कार्यवाही करेगा ? किसकी गिरफ्तारी कोई करेगा ? वे मुख्य मंत्री हैं, सारी पुलिस उनकी है, सारी शासन उनके हाथ में है, कैसे कोई हिम्मत करेगा मुख्य मंत्री को इच्छा के खिलाफ वहां जाने की ? यह सबसे बड़ी जिम्मेदारी है । फिर जब वहां पर उम्मीदवार खड़े हैं, चुनाव हो रहा है, एक हफ्ते के बाद चुनाव की स्थिति आने वाली है तो यह मुख्य मंत्री की जिम्मेदारी थी कि वहां पर एक एक उम्मीदवार को जो चुनाव के मैदान में है उसके प्राणों की हिफाजत करे, यह जिम्मेदारी सरकार की है और यह कहकर नहीं बच सकते हैं कि उनको अंगरक्षक दिया गया था, उनके साथ सिक्योरिटी थी इसलिए मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि यह सरकार की जिम्मेदारी है और नैतिकता का तकाजा है तथा जरा भी शर्म बाकी है तो श्री चौटाला को इस्तीफा दे देना चाहिए । लेकिन इस्तीफा नहीं देते हैं तो मान्यवर सवाल उपस्थित होता है कि आखिर संविधान का हत्या क्यों होनी चाहिए । आर्टिकल 356 है । ऐसी स्थिति पिछले चुनाव से लग रही थी... **(व्यवधान)**

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) : समाप्त कीजिए।

श्री राम नरेश यादव : मैं समाप्त कर रहा हूं । जब इस तरह से स्थिति पैदा हो रही हो और मुख्य मंत्री जहां पर चुनाव लड़ रहा हो उसकी कांस्टिट्युएंसी में इस तरह की घटना घट रही हो तो इसके पीछे कोई साजिश लगती है । इसीलिए जब वे इस क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ रहे थे तो उन्होंने समझ लिया था कि हम इस चुनाव क्षेत्र मेहम से जीतने वाले नहीं है तब उन्होंने वहां साजिश करके इस तरह की घटना कराने का काम किया । इसलिए ऐसी स्थिति में मैं सदन के माध्यम से चाहता हूं कि जब सारा लॉ एण्ड आर्डर की मशीनरी फेल हो जाती हो, जब कार्यवाही करने की दूसरे की हिम्मत नहीं रह जाती हो तब आर्टिकल 356 के सिवाय कोई दूसरा रास्ता नहीं रह जाता है। ऐसी स्थिति में चौटाला की सरकार को बखास्त किया जाये नम्बर एक, और नम्बर दो वहां पर राष्ट्रपति शासन को लागू किया जाए । साथ ही साथ जब एक तरफ से बात कही जाती है तो जरूरी हो जाता है कि निष्पक्ष जांच होनी चाहिए, सारे लोग जो भी जिम्मेदार है वे गिरफ्त में आने चाहिए । ठीक है कानून अपना रास्ता पकड़ता है...। लेकिन इस मौके पर आवश्यक है—यह सदन सर्वोच्च सदन है—सदन के सम्मानित सदस्यों की एक कमेटी बिठा कर उसके द्वारा जांच करवाई जानी चाहिए ताकि असलियत देश की जनता के सामने आ सके और जब जनता के सामने असलियत आ जाएगी, तो यह खतरा भी जो जनतंत्र पर पड़ रहा है, उस खतरे से भी देश को बचाया जा सके, यह हमारी और आप सब की जिम्मेदारी है ।

[श्री राम नरेश यादव]

इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ मैं अपनी बात समाप्त करता हूं ।

DR. NAGEN SAIKIA : Mr. Vice-Chairman, I rise to express my grave concern over the killing that was done yesterday at Meham, to express my anguish and agony and to condemn the incident that took place there at Meham. This killing is not only a killing of an individual. I beg to difler from my friend, Gurudas Das Gupta, I want to term it as a symbolic killing of democracy in our country. In the recent past what we experienced in Amethi, the large-scale violence that was indulged in there, to win election, a pocket book edition of Amethi was seen in Meham. And yesterday's killing has proved that those who do not have the least regard for democracy, those who do not believe in democratic norms, they tried to resort to violence to win in the election. In such a situation the Government cannot sit tight as a silent spectator. The Government will have to take stern measures against those who are involved in the killing. I, therefore, demand that an impartial inquiry be made to find out the culprits, whoever he or they may be, and take all legal steps against the culprits. Some of the friends of the Opposition have accused Mr. Chautala, the Chief Minister of Haryana. But before you make an inquiry and before you find out the culprit, how can you say that it was the Chief Minister himself who is to be accused ? How do you demand that he should be unseated ? Such a demand is nothing but based on political vindictiveness. Moreover, there is every possibility that the opponents of Chautala might have done this. But only after making an impartial inquiry can we find out who commited this crime, who took arms in his hands with a view to countermanding the election in Meham. I once again urge upon the Government, I demand, that an impartial inquiry be immediately made to find out the culprits and stern measures be taken against the culprits. Thank you.

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI : Mr. Vice-Chairman, today indeed is a very sorrowful day. Any killing, any murder, taking place, whether it is political or otherwise, one can only condemn, condemn and condemn, irrespective of parties. We are not here to cast aspersions as to who is the murderer. It was indeed very painful to me this morning that a very senior leader who is respected by one and all—and I am glad that as I refer to the name of the Leader of the Opposition he walks in—instead of regretting on this sorrowful event, it is really sad that he should politicise the issue and cast open aspersions and pass judgement. That is what happened this morning. It is indeed very sad that the day has come when we just cannot rely, or at least our friends in the Opposition believe that we cannot rely, on any institution owned by the Government, namely, the CBI, CID or any othr such institution. I would like to ask our friends, why is it so ? Are we instrumental in giving this impression just in five months or is this the result of the last 42 years ? Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, when we are discussing Meham, we are basitcally also discussing democracy, and tha ishow the whole debate has started today.

First of all, I would like to dispel the misbelief of our friends in the Opposition that the ruling party was not interested.. (*Time Bell rings*)

Sir, I have not yet started.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Three minutes Please go on.

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI : When we started this morning, we were not opposed to discussing Meham, and I am glad that we are discussing Meham. Only the question was whether it should be discussed during the Question Hour or after that. We sitting on this side were as much concerned and interested in discussing Meham as our friends on the other side. I was discussing about the discredibility of institutional value Institutional values have been totall murdered. I use this harsh word "murdered" and we have to go really deep into the matter as to how, where ang who has done this. While we are talkind so forcefully about democracy, can we differentiate between Amethi and Meham That would be a happy day when wy join Mehams and Amethis togethee When this sad incident took place i. Amethi, the ruling party then never demanded the resignation of the Prim? Minister. Mr. Rajiv Gandhi was thd Prime Minister then. But they nevee demanded it. He was not only the Prim - Minister but he was the candidate alsre And perhaps rightly so, because the caoor was not investigated. I am not even fsre a second trying to suggest that they shou od have done that. What I am trying t io submit is that the case should be investio gated thoroughly.

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH : May I just.. (*Interrupptions*)

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI : Is it a point of order ?

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH : No, (*Interupptions*)

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI : THE FIR was lodged. There was a false report in the newspaper saying that quite a few Members were there. (*Time Bell rings*) The hon. Members of this House stalled the proceedings. (*Time Bell rings*) I will conclude in half a minute, Sir. I would like to go on record that they stalled the proceedings of this Housebased on a false reporting. It was absolutely undemocratic. Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, before we talk about democracy outside, I think it is very important, not only important but essential, that we have democracy in this very House. It was very sad.....

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Therefore, please sit down.

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI : Sir, I have taken your permission for half a minute. It was very sad that in spite of the chairman.....

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER : Point of order. Mr. Vice-Chairman. My friend is raising the issue of yesterday and talking about the F.I.R. its contents and so on and so forth. We would like that this issue should be discussed democratically. Immediately after this, we are prepared to discuss it. Our friend, Mr. Bhardwaj, is here. Give him an opportunity to speak. He will place all the documents before you.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : I will give you everything.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Please conclude now.

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI : I was concluding . Sir. With due respect, once you ask me to conclude and immediately you ask me to sit down. I am at a loss. I do not know what I whould say.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Mr. Virendra Verma.

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : माननीय उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेहम की दुर्घटना अत्यंत ही दुखद है। इस सदन का प्रत्येक सदस्य उस घटना से दुखी है । लोकतंत्र में दिलचस्पी रखने वाला देश का प्रत्येक नागरिक भी इस प्रकार की घटनाओं को सही नहीं मान सकता ।

मान्यवर, मेहम में दो बार घटनायें हुई । एक अभी कल और एक इससे पेश्तर 28 फरवरी, के चुनाव के मौके पर । इस माननीय सदन के विपक्ष के माननीय नेताओं का कहना है जैसकि वे मौके पर ही बैठे थे और बिल्कुल सब कुछ उन्होंने अपनी आंख से देखा था। ये इस प्रकार भाषण कर रहे थे जैसे श्री चौटाला इस में दोषी है । मान्यवर, मैं बड़े अदब के साथ इस माननीय सदन के प्रत्येक सदस्य से यह निवेदन करना चाहूंगा कि इन दुखद घटनाओं पर लोकतंत्र के हित और उसके विकास के लिए अगर तथ्यहीन बातें न कहें तो बेहतर है । श्री आनंद सिंह डांगी भी मदीना गांव के हैं, श्री अमीर सिंह भी मदीना गांव के हैं ।

श्री माखन लाल कोतेदार : अब वह अमर हो गये हैं ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : श्री अमीर सिंह भी एक प्रभावशाली परिवार से प्रभावशाली व्यक्ति थे। मेरे ख्याल से जब आप बोल रहे थे तो शिवशंकर जी मुझ से यह कह रहे थे कि आप चुप बैठें । मान्यवर, अव्वल तो मेरे जैसा आदमी हाउस के हालात को देखकर बहुत दुखी है फिर भी ... **(व्यवधान)** ... मैं बताऊंगा, अभी तो मैंने शुरू ही किया है । .... **(व्यवधान)** ...

श्री सुरेन्द्रजीत सिंह अहलुवालिया : मंत्री नहीं बनाया ?

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : बहुत छोटी बात बात न कहें, माननीय अहलुवालिया जी । वीरेन्द्र वर्मा राजनीति में केवल सेवा की भावना से है, किसी पद की भावना से मैं नहीं है । यह बात मैं आज बताता हूं, हमेशा के लिए बताता हूं ।

मान्यवर, मैं यह कह रहा था कि अमीर सिंह एक प्रभावशाली परिवार से था । उसके गांव मदीना में भी उसका और उस के परिवार का प्रभाव था और आसपास के क्षेत्र में भी उसका प्रभाव था । मुझे मालूम नहीं, मेरे माननीय साथी मुझसे सहमत हैं या नहीं, लेकिन मैं यह बताना

[श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा]

चाहता हूं कि इरादतन एज ए पाइंट आफ स्टेटजी हम लोगों ने अमीर सिंह को डांगी के वोट, गांव के हर गांव बाहर के भी वोट, काटने के लिए खड़ा किया था। तो हमारा क्या इरादा हो सकता था कि अमीर सिंह को कत्ल हम करायेंगे ? जिसके वह वोट काटता उसका इरादा था कि वह उस की एलिमिनेट करे जो वोट काटता उस के। वह हमारा आदमी था, हमें दुख है। उस के सगे भाई ने पुलिस में रिपोर्ट की ... **(व्यवधान)**

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) : एक मिनट है आपका।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : मान्यवर, अभी तो मैं ने शुरू भी नहीं किया है। मुझे तो उतना टाइम चाहिए जितना अहलूवालिया जी को दिया था। उसके सगे भाई ने रिपोर्ट की और उस ने रिपोर्ट न ओमप्रकाश चौटाला के खिलाफ की, न अजय सिंह के और न जनता दल के किसी व्यक्ति के खिलाफ की बल्कि उस ने डांगी और उसके साथियों के खिलाफ की .. **(व्यवधान)**

कुमारी सरोज खापर्डे (महाराष्ट्र) : यही प्लान था कि डांगी को इलैक्शन के पहले बंद कर और बदनाम करे।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : मान्यवर, यह मेरा टाइम बर्बाद कर रहे हैं। मान्यवर, यह स्पष्ट राजनीति का खेल था जोकि खेला गया और आज जिस को माननीय मेरे मित्र इस सदन में खेल रहे हैं।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) : वर्मा जी, समाप्त कीजिए।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : मान्यवर, जहां तक इस मामले की बात है, इससे पहले जो मेहम में मामला हुआ था, उस को भी हायकोर्ट के जज को सुपुर्द किया गया। जहां यह मामला है, इस मामले में, मैं भी, आप भी, श्री ओमप्रकाश चौटाला—सब यह चाहते हैं कि इसकी निष्पक्ष जांच हो जाय तो पता चल जाएगा कि हाथ उनका था, इनका हाथ था कि हाथ किस का था और इसलिए ... **(व्यवधान)**.

उपसभाध्यक्ष : समाप्त कीजिए।

श्री सुरेन्द्रजीत सिंह अहलुवालिया : चौटाला पहले इस्तीफा दें।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : हायकोर्ट के जज या सी० बी० आई० के द्वारा जांच की हो, इसकी हम मांग करते हैं जिससे कि असलियत जनता के सामने आए और और प्रकाश में आए।

मान्यवर, पहले जो पोलिंग हुई थी, उस में चीफ इलैक्शन कमिश्नर ने केवल 8 पोलिंग स्टेशंस पर ही पोल के आदेश दिए थे। मैं माननीय सदस्यों को बताना चाहता हूं कि अगर बक्से अभी भी रखे हों और उन में वोट पड़े हुए हों तो उसे खोलकर देख लें कि कौन जीतता है ? **(व्यवधान)**

और मान्यवर, माननीय भंडारे साहब कह रहे थे कि ...कि आपने काउंटरमैंड कराया। हमने सिफारिश की थी, जनता दल ने कि री-पोल कराया जाना चाहिए। चौधरी देवी लाल नाम अभी माननीय राम नरेश यादव जी ले रहे थे, देवी लाल जी ने खुद री-पोल की घोषणा की। जनता दल ने री-पोल कराने के लिए संस्तुति की और लिखकर भेजा सी.ई. सी को, लेकिन हमारी बात न मानकर के सी.ई.सी. ने काउंटरमैंड किया। यह हमारा कुसूर नहीं था, हमने री-पोल का कहा था। . . . **(व्यवधान)**...

5.00 P.M.

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) खत्म करिए।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : दो मिनट में कर रहा हूं।

उपसभाध्यक्ष दो मिनट नहीं, आधे मिनट में खत्म करिए।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : अब इनको बुरा लगेगा . . . **(व्यवधान)** . . .

उपसभाध्यक्ष : अब आप इनको जवाब दे रहे हैं ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : क्यों नहीं दूंगा । जितनी बातें इन्होंने कहीं, सब ही बातें कहूंगा । 105 पोलिंग स्टेशंस पर अमेठी में री-पोल कराने का आदेश दिया गया था । क्या कांग्रेस पार्टी ने री-पोल कराने के लिए, जनता दल ने कहा, इंडिपेंडेंट एजेंसीज ने कहा कि री-पोल कराइए, क्या आपने भी री-पोल कराने के लिए कहा ? हमने कहा कि मैहम का री-पोल कराया जाए, आपने तो नहीं कहा था . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . .

श्री विश्वजीत पृथ्वीजित सिंह : उसका नतीजा क्या निकला उन 105 स्टेशंस में राजीव गांधी को ज्यादा वोट मिले बजाए कहीं और के । यह रिजल्ट था ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : यह तो अगर मैहम की काउंटिंग हो जाती, उसमें भी आपको पता चल जाता ।

मान्यवर, अमेठी क्षेत्र में श्री संजय सिंह के ऊपर कातिलाना हमला हुआ । आपके दुर्भाग्य से और हमारे सौभाग्य से संजय सिंह के प्राणों की रक्षा हुई । लेकिन मैं आपसे यह पूछना चाहता हूं कि क्या एक कांग्रेस के नेता ने भी कहा कि संजय सिंह के ऊपर कातिलाना हमला हुआ है ? . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . .

श्री सुरेन्द्रजीत सिंह अहलूवालिया : वी. पी. सिंह से पूछिए जिसने . . (**व्यवधान**) . . .

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि संजय सिंह के ऊपर जो कातिलाना हमला हुआ, बल्कि एक तरह से कत्ल कर दिया गया था, तो एक आदमी या एक नेता का भी वह नाम बता दें कि जिस नेता ने संजय सिंह पर हमले की निंदा की हो ।

मान्यवर, एक-दो बात और कहकर मैं समाप्त करता हूं । मान्यवर, रुड़की में पोलिंग हुआ । . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . .

श्री विश्वजित पृथ्वीजित सिंह : उस घटना की निंदा की । अपने आदमी वहां भेजे, संजय सिंह की खबर लेने के लिए । अस्पताल में लोग भेजे । पूरी निन्दा की । टेलिविजन पर निन्दा की रेडियो पर निन्दा की, अखबार में निन्दा की पब्लिक में निन्दा की ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : आपने इन्हें इजाजत दी है . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . .

श्री भुवनेश्वर कालिता : आपने सवाल पूछा, इसलिए जवाब दिया गया ।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) : अब आप बैठ जाइए ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : यह तो रात-दिन ऐसे ही करते हैं । मैं कह रहा था कि नवम्बर, 1989 में लोक सभा के साथ-साथ विधान सभा के भी चुनाव हुए . . . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . .

उपसभाध्यक्ष : वर्मा जी, अब आप समाप्त करिए । आप उनकी तरफ ध्यान मत दीजिए ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : खत्म कर रहा हूं . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . .

श्री विश्वजित पृथ्वीजित सिंह : आप लिखकर दीजिए अमेठी डिक्लस करने के लिए, हम तैयार हैं ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : हम भी तैयार हैं । तो महोदय, पार्लियामेंट के साथ-साथ रुड़की का इलैक्शन हुआ नवम्बर, 1989 में । कांग्रेस कंडीडेट लड़के ने, मोहम्मद असलम जो उत्तर प्रदेश के मंत्री हैं, उनका नौजवान लड़का, मैंने इतना खूबसूरत लड़का जीवन में नहीं देखा, बहुत होनहार और जब कि इलैक्शन खत्म हो चुका था, उसकी गोली मारकर हत्या कर दी गई ।

[श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा]

आप में से एक आदमी ने भी उस नौजवान की हत्या के विरुद्ध आवाज उठाई क्या . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . . मान्यवर, एक बात कहकर खत्म करता हूं और वह यह कि अभी यह कह रहे थे कि . . . (**व्यवधान**)

श्री सुरेन्द्रजीत सिंह अहलुवालिया : महोदय, मैं इनको बताना चाहता हूं कि अभय सिंह अपनी जान बचाने के लिए एक-कांस्टेबल की वर्दी पहनकर . . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . . . .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Please sit down.

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा मान्यवर, हम तो आपकी आज्ञा से बोल रहे हैं और यह बगैर आपकी आज्ञा के उतना ही बोलेंगे जितना टाइम आपने मुझे दिया है मान्यवर, भागलपूर में जो नर-संहार हुआ है जिसमें 403 आदमी मारे गए, क्या आप में से एक आदमी ने यह कहा कि उस सरकार को बर्खास्त किया जाय .. (**व्यवधान**) . ; जगन्नाथ मिश्रा को गिरफ्तार करने का, बिहार की जगन्नाथ मिश्रा सरकार को बर्खास्त करने का फैसला आपने किया . . . (व्यवधान) महोदय, हमारा दिमाग, हमारा दिल साफ होना चाहिए ।

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) : अरे, समाप्त कीजिए ।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : हम यह मांग करते हैं कि जो घटना महम में घटी है उसकी निष्पक्ष जांच हो या तो हाई कोर्ट का जज करे या सी.बी.आई. करे । उसकी निष्पक्ष जांच हो और दोषी लोगों को दंडित किया जाए लेकिन मैं ऐसी बातें नहीं कहना चाहता जिनके आप आदी हो चुके हैं ।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Shrimati Kamla Sinha.

SHRI A.G. KULKARNI : Sir, just on a point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : I have already called the next speaker.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI : It is all right, Sir. But at 5 o'clock, there is one business listed. If it is going to be postponed to next week, I have no objection. It should be postponed to next week and not taken up now because the Member are interested in this discussion.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY : I support his point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): If you want to discuss that, I can close the debate here.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI : Kindly listen to my point. I just want to request you, I know that the Members are agitated on the Meham incident. Let us discuss it upto 8 o'clock or 9 o' clock, or what ever it is. The listed business should be done away with and taken up next week.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Is that the sense of the House ?

SEVERAL HON. MEMBERS : Yes.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : So we continue with this discussion.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY : On a point of order, Sir. When the matter is taken up, the Prime Minister should answer because the whole thing has been done from the Prime Minister's Office by * These Ministers are just puppets in this whole game. Therefore, the Prime Minister should answer in the matter.

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA : I want to set the record straight..

THE LEADER OF THE HOUSE (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY) : I take strong objection to the epithet he had used . . . (*Interruptions*)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : I have not permitted you.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY : We know that the Prime Minister's Office is behind this. How can you answer it ?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : I have not permitted you.

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : So, Sir, what is the decision ? Are you taking this matter up for discussion, or are you postponing it ?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : We are continuing with this discussion. That is the sense of the House.... (*Interruptions*) I have not permitted you, Mr. Subramanian Swamy.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY : This duty concession was given to Nusli Wadia by the Prime Minister's Office. So let the Prime Minister answer it.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : I strongly regpudiate this insinuation.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY : I am not making an insinuation.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : It is an insinuation. It is highly objecionable. What you are saying is highly objectionable (*Interruptions*) In that case, this debate should stop and the listed business should be taken up. We do not want to hear objectionable things here.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Mr. Subramanian Swamy, I have not permitted you to speak.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : I want a debate here and now on this. Let me tell you who is at fault. What are you talking ?

SHRI JAGESH DESAI : No, no. Let us continue with Meham.

श्रीमती कमला सिन्हा (बिहार) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, सदन में आज सवेरे से ही बहुत गंभीर विषय मेहम में जो कल घटना घटी उसके बारे में विचार हो रहा है । बहुत वक्ताओं ने इस पर अपनी राय रखी है । मैं उन बातों को दोहराना नहीं चाहती । इस सदन में लोकतंत्र के बारे में बहुत गंभीर चिंता व्यक्त की गयी है और इसमें कोई दो राय नहीं कि भारतवर्ष सबसे बड़ा लोकतांत्रिक देश है और लोकतंत्र की रक्षा अगर नहीं होती है तो फिर हम दुनियां को मुंह दिखाने लायक नहीं रह जायेंगे, यह बात सही है। उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं यह कहना चाहती हूं कि लोकतंत्र का बेसिक सिद्धांत है रूल ऑफ लॉ । रूल ऑफ लॉ के बगैर लोकतंत्र जिंदा नहीं रह सकता और इस सदन में जो कुछ देखने को मिला--बिना कानून के जांच के बिना फैसले के हमने किसी को दोषी करार कर दिया, यह अनुचित बात है । बिना कानून के फैसले के इस सदन को अधिकार नहीं है कि यहां हम कह दें कि फलाना व्यक्ति दोषी है, फला व्यक्ति निर्दोष है । मैं किसी का नाम नहीं लेना चाहती लेकिन मैं यह जरूर कहना चाहती हूं कि यहां इस सदन में इस तरह की बात होना बहुत दुखद है।

महोदय, दूसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहती हूं कि जो कुछ हुआ उस घटना की जांच हो, होनी ही चाहिये और विधि द्वारा स्थापित जो न्याय इस देश में स्थापित है उसके मुताबिक निश्चित रूप से दोषी को सजा मिलेगी और सजा मिलने के बाद ही हमें यह कहने का अधिकार होता है कि तुम दोषी हो, तुम निर्दोष हो, उससे पहले नहीं । मुझे केवल इतना ही कहना था । आपने मुझे मौका दिया इसलिये धन्यवाद ।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Mr. Gautam.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : Sir, please allow me to speak.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Mr. Gautam. He has got only two minutes to speak. After that Mr. Bhardwaj.

श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, चुनाव के दिन प्रत्याशी की हत्या या तो व्यक्तिगत रंजिश के कारण होती है या उसके पीछे राजनीतिक उद्देश्य होता है । कभी किसी को चुनाव हारने की आशंका होती है तो हत्या हो जाती है अथवा दूसरे को चुनाव जीतने की परिस्थिति दिखायी दे तो हत्या हो जाती है । लेकिन दुख की बात यह है कि निर्दलीय लोगों की आम तौर से हत्यायें इस प्रकार की होती हैं और कमजोर लोगों की होती हैं, इसलिये मैं हत्या की निंदा करता हूं । इस हत्या में कुछ हद तक लोकतंत्र की हत्या भी सन्निहित है, मैं समर्थन करता हूं साथियों का । लेकिन लोकतंत्र अपने में एक प्रोसेस है, वह एक व्यवस्था है, उस पूरी व्यवस्था की हत्या में ही लोकतंत्र की हत्या होती

[श्री संघ प्रिय गौतम]

है । इस संबंध में मैं दो बातें कहना चाहता हूं क्या ओम प्रकाश चौटाला हत्याएं हैं? अथवा क्या उन्होंने हत्या के लिये उकसाया है? अगर इन दोनों को भी मान लें तो क्या उन्हें अदालत से सजा हो गयी है ? यह तीनों बातें अपनी जगह पर नहीं है और जब यह तीनों बातें नहीं हैं तो एक अकेला ग्राउंड उनके डिस्क्वमल के लिये नहीं हो सकता । जहां तक अन्य बातें हैं--भारतीय जनता पार्टी के लोगों ने यह कहा कि श्री चौटाला त्याग पत्र दें, उसके बहुत से और भी कारण हैं । लेकिन यह अकेला कारण नहीं हो सकता और गवर्नर महोदय के लिये भी यह हटाने का कोई कारण नहीं हो सकता । हां, इसकी जांच निष्पक्ष रूप से जरूर करायी जाये । मैं इस संबंध में एक बात और कहना चाहता हूं । लोकतंत्र की हत्या के लिये . . . (**व्यवधान**) मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि दो जून तक अगर श्री चौटाला किसी क्षेत्र से चुन कर नहीं आते हैं, तो वे क्या मुख्य मंत्री बने रहेंगे---क्योंकि वहां पर अपर हाऊस है नहीं--तो क्या ऐसा हो सकता है कि इस प्रकार से चुनाव टलवाने से श्री चौटाला मुख्य मंत्री बने रहेंगे ? (**व्यवधान**) श्री चौटाला का क्या होने वाला है यह मैं जानना चाहता हूं । वे चुनाव क्यों टलवायें ? (**व्यवधान**)

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : Sir, I beg to speak on two or three points. Shri Raj Mohan Gandhi and a few other friends spoke about some incident yesterday and today. Yesterday the House had discussed the issue whether political vendetta is being started against the Member of Parliament. Yesterday was my birthday and when I read the newspaper in the morning...

SEVERAL HON. MEMBERS : Many happy returns of the day.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : Yesterday when my wife read the newspaper she said that I had been in Amethi right from 1977. This is 1990. I had never seen anything like this. What is this ? Was there anything like that I was involved in some violence ? I said I would resign my seat the day somebody says so. I will face it and will not seek bail if somebody even says even that I advised violence. People who are now complaining about violence they have been doing violence. I was an eye-witness in four elections, but I would not name, because those days we had such a confidence in each other that we cannot betray the confidence. Even the hon. Prime Miniser used to stand behind Rajiv Gandhi every two or three occasions when he used to file nominations. I have photographs with me. There was no dispute. It was a party of which he was also a Member. On this occasion Mr. Raj Mohan Gandhi, a new man to the Constituency, came. He is a very good person with best antecedents the country could expect from a Gandhi. We have tremendous respect for him as a person. But the question is whoever is contesting he has to keep control over his workers. I am responsible for my workers and he is responsible for his workers. You will agree that during the whole campaign in the constituency from day one—nominations were filed till 22nd—I don't think we had any occasion to have a grievance against each other. Even the so-called Independent Initiative lawyers, who came from the Supreme Court and the High Court, Delhi, also met us there. They were with us there and we were interested in a fair poll. If you see the results of Rajiv Gandhi, in each polling station he has won. Every time he has won. It is not his first victory. I am only aggrieved on one point that various versions are coming in the press about what the FIR says. Yesterday after this incident was there I sent my lawyers from here to get a copy of the FIR because I am myself not aware what was the exact nature of the FIR. I thought somebody might have mentioned somebody's name. But I find that the FIR was recorded on 23rd of November, 1989 in Lucknow, when Sanjay Singh was hurt and admitted in the hospital. There were two guards who were with him. There were two FIRs—one against Sanjay Singh by the people who were injured from one side and the other FIR, a day later, by the other Head Constable, who was the shadow of Sanjay Singh. If you permit me I will just read. It does not contain any name of either Satish Sharma or anybody. What did he say? He said at the end :

जिन लोगों ने गोली चलाई उन्हें हम लोग नहीं जानते, परन्तु सामने आने पर पहचान कर सकते हैं ।

In the column for the accused, police has recorded who are the persons to be identified. *"surat and shinakat."* They can only be identified because they were unknown people. They Head Constable was with Mr. Sanjay Singh for 11 years. He also came to my house. Mr. Jung Bahadur and Mr. Jai Prakash, Head Constable are well known to Mr. Satish Sharma until 1984-85 when we contested

the elections. These guards were there. They were old guards. If they had seen any one of us, any M.P., or any prominent worker my name or Mr. Satish Sharma's name would have come in this FIR. I place it on the Table of the House. I can supply as many copies as are required. If anybody finds our name, we will not seek bail. We will go to jail straightway and face the trial. Law is no respecter of persons...(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI SUNIL BASU RAY (West Bengal) : This is not the way..... (*Interruptions*)...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : You place anything on record, we will consider it... (*Interruptions*)...I am not saying we will accept it.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : They are certified by the Superintendent of Police, CBP, Lucknow. This copy was supplied by him...(*Interruptions*).....

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Let him complete.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : If you permit me, I will briefly read it... (*Interruptions*)...

श्रीमान जी, थाना एम०एच०ओ०, सेवा में निवेदन है कि प्रार्थी एचसीपी हैड कांस्टेबल जयप्रकाश सिंह मेडो जग बहादुर सिंह आ० संजय सिंह भूतपूर्व मंत्री जो विधान सभा अमेठी क्षेत्र से जनता दल के प्रत्याशी हैं उनके मेडो हैं.... (**व्यवधान**)

श्री ईश दत्त यादव : महोदय मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है...(**व्यवधान**)। सुन तो लीजिये।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Everybody has interlinked Meham and Amethi.

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI : Sir, I am on a point of order. When we were taking part in the debate, you never allowed us to speak for more than 1-1/2 minutes. Now the hon. Member has already taken more than five minutes..(*Interruptions*)

श्री ईश दत्त यादव: मेरा व्यवस्था का सवाल है, पवाइंट आफ आर्डर है।... (**व्यवधान**)...यह मेरा अधिकार है। मेरे अधिकार की आप रक्षा करें। मैं व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठा रहा हूं।

THE LEADER OF THE HOUSE (SHRI H. S. GURUPADASWAMY) : Please hear his point of order. He has got a point of order. Please hear him.

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) : आपका क्या पवाइंट आफ आर्डर है।

श्री ईश दत्त यादव : माननीय उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, यहां पर महम की हम चर्चा कर रहे हैं। लेकिन क्या महम से हम रायबरेली का जिक्र कर सकते हैं? मैं इस पर रोक लगाने की बात नहीं कह रहा चाहता हूं। कल पूरे दिन सदन नहीं चला...

श्री हंसराज भारद्वाज : हम आज भी नहीं चलने देंगे।

श्री ईश दत्त यादव : पूरे दिन कल सदन इसलिये नहीं चलने दिया गया कि इसमें सदन के बहुत से माननीय सदस्य वांटेड हैं। लेकिन उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार की ओर से स्पष्टीकरण आ गया है कि केवल एक माननीय सदस्य के अतिरिक्त पूरे देश का कोई भी सांसद या कोई विधायक इसमें शामिल नहीं है।...(**व्यवधान**)...ये बार-बार एफ०आई०आर० पढ़ रहे हैं। माननीय उपसभाध्यक्ष जी, उनके ऊपर रोक लगाइये कि एफ०आई०आर० न पढ़े और न टेबल पर उसको पेश करे। ...(**व्यवधान**)...

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) : आप बैठ जाइये।

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : मैं मान्यवर कह रहा था कि चौटाला के खिलाफ कोई रिपोर्ट...(**व्यवधान**)...

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी) : भारद्वाज जी, आप कन्क्लूड करिये।

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : Look here, Verma Ji, you are a

[Sh. Hansraj Bhardwaj]

senior Member and I am speaking only to make my position clear and I think you should allow me to express my views. It is in your own interest. There may be a conspiracy against your leader.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (Shri BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Please conclude.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : If you do not want to give me time, then it is all right. But this is not the way I should conclude. It is not something very pleasant. Do you think by sending truck-loads of people to the houses of MPs democracy will flourish ? Everybody who is taking the name of democracy, does he think it is very respectable that when I am in the house, you surround my house and harass my wife and children ? (*Interruptions*) I want to place the Government records to show that this vindictive action which was taken two days back is still continuing That action must stop because we are not made of that stuff and will get deterred by any cowardly attempt of this Government. We are placing the duly certified copies of the documents before you. The certified copies of the documents have been obtained. I can again certify that they are true copies. Sir, you read them and then make your judgement and tell Mr. Vishwanath Ji that he should apply his mind to these things. Mr. Satish Sharma is only a person. He is a Member of Parliament. If he was wanted, his name should have been in the first FIR. Okay the inspector was ill. He may not have mentioned his name. The head constable may not have mentioned his name. Our Government changed. They have challaned 12 persons in February but Satish Sharma was not challaned. Thereafter, they reinvestigated the incident through the CBP but Satish Sharma was not challaned and now six months after the elections, you obtain warrants and go to his house when he is in this house and you intimidate the members of his family. I tell you, these attempts will never be tolerated. (*Interruptions*). Sir, the most unfortunate incident which took place, I again condemn it. They did not condemn it. I was in Amethi. I was in Gouriganj and got some persons arrested the same night. What are you talking ? I went evern personally to the Chief Minister and I told the Chief Minister who told the Home Secretary to get everybody arrested who was involved. And within one night, during that very night, they were arrested. If you want their names, the people who were arrested in connection with this case, with their weapons, I can give you. They were arrested and challaned. They are facing trial. But do you think we will like to face this type of vendetta ? It was not mentioned when the indentification took place. The Head-Constable said he could identify. If Satish Sharma is there..... (*Interruptions*).

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Please be brief.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : I am not begging for this. If you do not want, do not want it. I am saying all this with great responsibility. (*Interruptions*). Don't brow-beat me like this. There is no question of brevity. In the month of February ..... (*Interruptions*).

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Please be brief.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : What do you mean by 'brief' ? We are prepared to walk a distance. We are not afraid of them. I am making a statement on personal explanation. That is why it is necessary that full records must go in. The copy of the memorandum of identification attested by the magistrate.. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA : Sir, what is this ? On Meham issue.. . . (*Interruptions*).

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : I can lay it on the Table of the House. (*Interruptions*). Sir, when the Head-Constable said that he would be able to identify, this Mulayam Singh Yadav Government produced 13 people in the Jail. Everybody was taken to the Jail for identification. (*Interruptions*). At that time there was no question of Satish Sharma. I place these documents on the Table of the House. Kindly take it. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA : I am on a point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : Please hear me. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA : Mr. Bhardwaj was reading something. He wants to lay a paper on the Table of the House. It can be done only with the permission of the Chair. Now, I have seen Mr. Fotedar laying a paper on the Table of the House. He did it twice. Mr. Fotedar laid. I do not understand. Is Mr. Bhardwaj also laying papers on the Table ? Or is Mr. Fotedar laying it on his behalf ?

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER : Mr. Bhardwaj categorically said that he is placing on the Table of the House. and ministerial act of carrying it from that place to the Table of the House is carried out by Mr. Fotedar.

HRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : There are only three points. (*Interruptions*). I will only take two or three minutes more.

श्री प्रमोद महाजन : मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि किसी के वहां जा कर कागज रखने का अर्थ क्या यह हो गया कि वह टेबल आफ दी हाऊस पर आ गये हैं ? (व्यवधान) यह अधिकारों का प्रश्न है । कल मैं कोई कागज वहां लाकर रख दूं तो इन पर आप अपना आदेश दीजिये (व्यवधान) कागज जब रखे गये हैं तो मैं यह व्यवस्था का सवाल उठा रहा हूं ।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI : He is at liberty to place it. The Chair will decide its admissibility. (*Interruptions*). I told you that the admissibility will be decided by the Chair.

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN : That I know. What have you decided I am asking you this question humbly. What have you decided on his placing it on the Table of the House ? I know that you have to decide. But what have you decided ?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): We will decide. Let him conclude. We will decide on it.

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: There is no question of continuing. Somebody has placed some papers on the Table of the House. Have you accepted them?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) We are not accepting.

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER: He has sought the permission of the Chair . (*Interruptions*). Why do you stop him?... (*Interruptions*) you don't bother about it. .(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Sir, a senior Member must have some regard for the dignity of the House ..(*Interruptions*) He takes some paper and puts it on the Table (*Interruptions*).

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER: He sought the permission of the Chair and, after seeking the permission of the Chair and after the Chair assured him that he would consider it at a later stage, he put the papers there .(*Interruptions*) .

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): All of you are speaking at the same time... (h*Interruptions*) ..I am not able to ear you ..(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, I am on a point of order. (*Interruptions*). Sir, I am on a point of order.. (*Interruptions*). Sir, I am on a point of order (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ: I only sought your permission, Sir.. (*Interruptions*)..

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Sir, he only sought your permission and then only he put the papers there.. (*Interruptions*) ..

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Please sit down .(*Interruptions*)... Mr. Narayanasamy, please sit down...(*Interruptions*...

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ: Sir, I sought your permission and when you allowed me to place it there, I wanted just then to send them to you so that they can also read them ..(*Interruptions*) ..I will certify each word, every substance and content in them ..(*Interruptions*) .and I will certify that every word in the document is true .(*Interruptions*) .I will authenticate ..(*Interruptions*)

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Sir, on a point of order. .(*Interruptions*)... sir, do I understand that the paper has been laid on the Table? .(*Interruptions*) .

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA : Sir, I am on a point oforder (*Interruptions*) .

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Sir, I am on a point of order...(*Interruptions*) Sir, please clarify whether he has placed it on the Table of the House .(*Interruptions*) As Mr. Shiv Shanker has said, he has only 'put' it on the Table and not'laid'it or the Table .(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ: Sir, if they are not interested in this, then I will not say anything ..(*Interruptions*)..

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, I am on a point of order ..(*Interruptions*)...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Mr. Bhardwaj, just a minute...(*Interruptions*) .Let us hear Mr. Gurudas Das Gupta.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, my point is that I have no objection to any Member disucssing after Meham or, along with Meham, in accordance with your decision, any incident pertaining to an attack on democracy or per-

[Shri Gurudas Dass Gupta]

taining to any other matter, which is in accordance with the decision of the Chair... (*Interruptions*). Firstly in accordance with the decision of the Chair we are discussing a particular subject which is the incident in Meham and, as a corollary, definitely, an honourable Member can make a reference to a particular incident...(*Interruptions*)...and, as a corollary, definitely, an honourable Member can refer to a particular problem. But, Sir, my point of order is ...(*Interruptions*)...that this House...(*Interruptiions*) ..has certain well established norms about placing a document on the Table of the House. It cannot be just sent. (*Interruptions*).. It cannot be just sent and nobody can perform the ministerial duty as the Leader of the Opposition has suggested when he said that somebody has performed the ministerial duty of conveying a paper to the Table from one Member who was speaking. Now, my point of order is this...(*Interruptions*).

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Let us hear him..(*Interruptions*)..yes, Mr. Gurudas Das Gupta, please conclude quickly.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, I would like you to give your opinion, your ruling on this. As and when a Member is speaking, can anybody else carry the papers to the Table of the House saying that they are being laid on the Table?..(*Interruptions*)..Please listen to me..(*Interruptions*)..This is what has happened..(*Interruptions*)..Sir, you must listen to me..(*Interruptions*)..An honourable Member has performed the job of carrying some papers to the Table while another Member was speaking..(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI VISHVJIT P. SINGH: Sir, Mr. Bhardwaj, has already gone there and given the papers...(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Sir, the point is that any paper cannot be placed on the Table of the House without the previous permission of the Chairman...(*Interruptions*)..We want a ruling on this..(*Interruptions*)..

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): First, let me hear him..(*Interruptions*)..

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, the point is ..(*Interruptions*)..

SHRI M. M. JACOB: Sir, I have one point to make..(*Interruptions*).

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, If a Member acts in a way which is absolutely contrary to the rules, it is not only unbecoming of a Member, but it is also definitely puts the House in disorder and to shame..(*Interruptions*)... It is a serious thing..(*Interruptions*)...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): What is your point of order? (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, my point of order is that the rule of the House has been infringed upon..(*Interruptions*) and it is a serious thing (*Interruptions*).....

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: Which rule? .(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI M. M. JACOB: Sir, I rise on a point of order..(*Interruptions*)..Mr. Gurudas Das Gupta, there is no rule prohibiting this; there is no such rule... (*Interruptions*)..Show me one rule... (*Interruptions*). On the contrary, Rule 250 is the one rule which is relevant here and that refers to Papers laid on the Table and it says, "All papers and documents laid on the Table shall be considered public." This is the only relevant rule and there is no other rule..(*Interruptions*)..

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, give me your ruling on my point of order..(*Interruptions*)..

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Sir, I am on a point of order. (*Interruptions*)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Yes.. (*Interruptions*)..I am allowing Mr. Morarka.

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Sir, my point of order is very simple. I am not on the issue whether that paper was carried by Mr. Fotedar or by Mr. Bhardwaj. That is not my point. The simple issue is this : Can papers be laid on the Table of the House wihout the permission of the Chair?...(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARADWAJ: I had sought the permission of the Chair. ...(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Sir, they are not allowing me to complete y point of order ...(*Interruptions*)...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): All of you, please sit down ...(*Interruptions*)... I have allowed only Mr. Morarka.

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Sir, they are mixing up two things. Seeking the permission of the Chair is not the same thing as giving your permiss-

After they sought the permission of the Chair, has the Chair permitted them to lay the paper? That is the issue only .... (*Interruptions*)....

SOME HON. MEMBERS : Yes, ...(*Interruptions*).....

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA : Let the Chair say so .....(*Interruptions*).... Sir, we want your ruling on this.... .....(*Interruptions*).....

SHRI MADAN BHATIA : Sir, the honourable Members on the other side.... (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN : Sir, Mr. Jacob quoted Rule 250 (*Interruptions*).... That is not the rule ..(*Interruptions*).....

SHRI MADAN BHATIA : Sir, number of Members on the other side have, in the course of their speeches on this, been stating that so far as Meham is concerned, this must be equated with Amethi. So, the honourable Member, Mr. Bhardwaj, has got the right to say that Meham and Amethi cannot be placed on par at at all (*Interruptions*)..... and, in order to substantiate his point, he has the right to place before this honourable house the authenticated documents which he is willing even to verify (*Interruptions*).....

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN : Sir, Rule 250 never mentions anything about any paper but only about Ministers and, as Mr. Bhardwaj is, unfortunately , not a Minister just now, he cannot lay. There is nothing here . (*Interruptions*). .. Rule 250 does not give you any permission, and there is no question of any permission here .. (*Interruption*)..... It relates to Ministers and not to any Member... (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : Sir, may I make a submission?.... (*Interruptions*)....

SHRI PARAMOD MAHAJAN : Sir, what is your ruling... (*Interruptions*)... What is the rule ? ... (*Interruptions*) .. What is the rule . (*Interruptions*) ... Rule 250 relates to Ministers and not to Members... (*Interruptions*) .

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : Sir, may I make a submission ?..... (*Interruptions*)....

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : Mr. leader of the House I must say that I thought that you would have some regard for the privilage the Membess as theLeader of the House. ..(*Interruptions*) . . am onlytrying to make you awareof of what game is being played, how such fabricated warrants and other things are being brought against us... (*Interruptions*) ... If you are not interestred, then you send the police... (*Interruptions*) .. We will go to jail (*Interruptions*).... We will go to jail and you face the music.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY: On a point of order....

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) :Yes.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY: May I draw the attention of the Chair and the House to Rules 249 and 250, because 250 was quoted by my friend here....

SHRI M.M. JACOB: 249 concerns Ministers.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY: Quite right, by they are interconnected. 249 refers to any paper mentioned by the Minister, any document mentioned by the Minister, to be placed on the Table of the House. Rule 250 : "All papers and dacumedts laid on the Table shall be codsidered public." This is the one that has peamentioned by my friend. Other thad these two rules we have been observing certain norms and conventions of the House and I would like you not to forget those conventions and n rms. Without the perevious permission of the Chair no document or no refererence to any document can be placed on the Table of the House by any Member and no Member should take documents from here. There is no question of laying. If any person wants to lay any document or paper on the Table of the House, he must seek your permission and without your permission nobody has got the authority to lay any document on the Table of the House. In this particular case I have been observing, with pain and sorrow I must say, that your permission was not sought....

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR: It was sought

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ : I asked the Chair

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : It is for me to say. You don't interrupt.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : I have also been observing. .....

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : They cannot speak on behalf of the Chair.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : I have been a witness to all the proceedings which have just taken place. If I am wrong, you can cotrect me. What I was saying was when my friend, Bhardwaj, was making a speech, he referred to certain documents and he said he was laying the documents on the Table of the House. Then, later on, he sought permission of the Chair. The Chair ruled that he had not given the permission....

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No, No.

SHRI M.S. GURUPADASWAMY : You see the record. Please see the record. (*Interruptions*). It is not for you to say. It is for the Chair. You see the recod. I would like you to see the record. I say the Chair had not given permission. Therefore, it is highly objectionable, you are violating the norms and conventions of the House. Don't do that.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA : Mr. Vice-Chairman, did you give permission ? (*Interruptions*).

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVENESH CHATURVEDI) : I have not called you. Please sit down.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA : did you give permission ?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : I will hear everybody and when then reply. Please sit down. I will reply to your point also.

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR : On a point of order, the leader of the House has rasjed a point of order, whether a Member, who makes some points or makes some personal explanation or in the course of a discussion before the House, can place on the Table of the House a document which is certified to be correct or which the Member himself writes that this document, to the best of his belief, is correct and he states that on that document , whether he can be permitted to table that document. And that document becomes a public document. (*Interruption*). Let me complete. The honourable Mr. Bhardwaj has not placed a document which was in his pocket. He has placed a document which in the eye of the law is a public document, a document maintained by the Government..... (*Interruptions*)....

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA : What is the point in making it public document ? (*Interruptions*). A senior Member, a former Minister.. (*Interruptions*). Is he allowed to speak in this way

THE VICE - CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : I have permitted him to speak.

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR : Mr. Vice-Chairman, you have permitted me to speak. on the point I am making my position clear. It is a public document. It is not the question of only Mr. Bhardwaj. It is a question of the entire nation. (*Interruptions*). It can be your question tomorrow (*Interruptions*). I will repeat : we will not allow this Government to use the State power against its political opponents. (*Interruptions*) Mr. Das Gupta, don't check. me. Take your seat. (*Interruptions*) The point is, one, you have permitted Mr. Bhardwaj to place it on the Table of the House and you will consider the paper later (*Interruptions*)

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE : I am in agreement with what Mr. Gurupada swamy said to the extent that no paper can be allowed on the Table of the House witout the permission of the Chair. Sir, Rule 249 deals with papers quoted by a Minister to be laid on the Table. Rule 250 deals with a paper laid on the Table, to be public. Now, Sir, the only question that arises is that he says that you did not give your consent. It is his objection and it is a very basic objection. If you have not given your consent, nothing will go on record. It will not be taken to have been laid on the Table of the House. (*Interruptions*) Bear with me for half-a-minute. Sir, when you say, 'I will consider later', it means you can leave it there and it becomes a part of the proceedings of Parliament. If the Chair says, "I have

not permitted it . . . (*Interruptions*) If he says, 'I have no' given permission, 'I will consider it' ... (*Interrruptions*).

You are a new Member to Parliament. Plesse listen to me. I am saying something abo ut the procedure. You will learn something. If he says, "you may leave it there' that will become a part of the record of Parliament—only after he has permitted. The only question that arises in this wrangling is whether you have said, 'I will consider it'. If it is so, then we are entitled to leave it there. You consider whether it will form part of the record or not.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Anybody else ?

PROF. SOURENDRA BHATTACHARJEE : Sir, we have been discussing the Meham issue. (*Interruptions*). In connection with that discussion we may raise other questions also. My poin' is whether any paper pertaining to some other incident may at all be put and may at all be admitted. We are not discussing Amethi or some other thing. Therefore, I was expecting Mr. Bhardwaj is read out the FIR in connection with this murdsr. But then I heard that it was regarding Amedthi. Whatever they yesterday, they did. Today they wanted Meham to be discussed. Therefore, this FIR should not be allowed.

(*Interruptions*)

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER : Mr. Vice Chairman, Sir, the hon. Members from the other side, during the course of the objections have put forth two points. While the issue of Meham is being discussed this issue about what happened in Amethi and which became the subject-matter of discussion yesterday is sought to be discussed. That is one point. (*Interruptions*) I make an amendment. A mention of incident at Amethi was made. I am prepared to accept the amendment. The second objection that is raised is about the rules. I am glad that for a change our hon. friends from the other side have now started seeking protection of the rules and the procedures. I am really sorry that for the last four years they have never sought the protection of the rules. It is only now that they have started seeking the protection of the rules. I congratulate them for seeking the protection of the rules. Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I find that for the first time now they have started seeking protection of the rules and have started misinterpreting everything. The position is that right from the morning when we were discussing about Meham, the issue of Amethi was referred to even at the time when I started speaking. I never wanted to go int to it. But somebody raised the objection as a result of which I had to say certain facts about it.

6.00 P.M.

I said that if a chance is given, Mr. Bhardwaj will bring out all the facts. And I said in the morning itself that he is in possession of all the documents, and if permitted, he will place them on the Table of the House. This also I said in the Morning as the first speaker. More than one speaker had been referring to Amethi on this issue. It is this which necessitated us to request Mr. Bhardwaj to speak and it is only when you asked him to speak, Sir, he got up. Before he started speaking, he made out a preface saying as to what had happened yesterday and why he is standing up to explain the situation with reference to the documents which was raised in this House yesterday and so on, and went into the details. Therefore, to say that when the matter of Meham is being discussed that matter is being left out and that Mr. Bhardwaj is butting in forcibly to make the submissions as to what happened on the 22nd December in Amethi is not a correct approach. He is doing it absolutely legally and with permission. Since this matter has been raised from time to time, are we not interested in the truth ? Let us go into it. (*Interruptions*) Just a minute. Are we not interested in the truth ? Yesterday it came out in the papers that quite a few MPs from this House are involved in the FIR. Naturally, everybody was agitated and I am grateful to the hon. Leader of the House that yesterday he also said that the approach taken was correct, the anxieties perfectly justified. In fact, I would go to the extent of saying that it is not a question of your party or my party. If the Members of this House are involved, it should be the anxiety of one and all. After all, he is a respected Member of this House. That cuts across parties. We do not adhere to the party line in the matter when it comes to the question of the privilege of a Member. When something appeared in the press, obviously it caused anguish amongst all of us. I am glad that yesterday the hon. Leader of the House and some of his friends sitting on the other side including Mr. Morarka have expressed their anguish. I am grateful to them not because the Members have were involved. I am grateful because it was the Members of this House who were involved. Therefore, they rightly expressed their anguish. What appeared in today's papers was that it is only one MP who was named in the FIR. Now the point is whether it is true. After all this is a matter of anguish and anxiety to us. Suppose, as

[Shri P. Shiv Shankar]

Mr. Bhardwaj very rightly said, he was sitting here and if his wife and children have to be harassed at the residence by the police cordoning off and going for the search, how do you expect this man to carry out his duties as a Member of Parliament with a peaceful mind ? He cannot. That is the anxiety which he wanted to express. And it has been reported today in the papers that in the FIR and in the Chargesheet the name of one MP is already there, the fact is otherwise. Therefore please do not look at it from the point of view of a party at all. Let us cut across the party lines and let us not go into the technicalities. We have never been on the technicalities. If we were to go by the rules strictly, I am sure, the proceedings of this House cannot go on. This is not a court of law where we argue. It is more from a wider perspective that we take up the national problems and then we air our views. We bring to the notice of this House and the nation as to how we are getting anxious about certain issues. Having said this, I would like to submit that we should not raise this issue whether Mr. Bhardwaj is standing here for the purpose of explaining as to what happened in Amethi. We are all interested in the truth and let us approach this problem from that angle. If it is not so, then as Mr. Bhardwaj says, he is prepared for the consequences. But then, if somebody is trying to mislead the House and the nation by saying certain things, which are coming in the newspapers and are blatantly false, something will have to be done. Is it not the concern of all of us ? It is not a question of only Mr. Satish Sharma's name being mentioned. It may happen to me tomorrow. It may happen to Mr. Gurupadasamy the day after.

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE : You, the Chair.

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER : We going to tolerate this kind of thing ? Let us look at it from that angle ? Therefore, the first point is, let us listen to him After that, you may discard it. You. can say this is wrong and we will throw it out..

The second point I would submit. Mr. Vice-Chairman, the matter of the documents being placed on the Table at the House. After dealing with them, he placed them on the Table of the House. Rather, they were being taken there. Though I would not like to say, but the fact is one of the officers talked to you. Then, you said 'I will consider'. (*Interruptions*)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI) : I have not permitted you to speak, Mr. Saqhy.

SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER : I am only saying what happened. The Chair sipa will consider'. Now, it is possible that the chairman or the Vice-Chairman, whoever the person who would look into it, might ultimately say 'Well. we reject these d ocuments; we would not treat them as part of the second'. But then, you have been kind enough to make an observation 'I will consider'. It is in 'ha' background that some documents are being placed on the Table of the House. They could be rejected if you find that they are good for nothing. But I would still appeal to hon. Members. Let us not go into the technicalities. Technicalities apart, let us go into the truth of it. As I said, it is not a question of only Mr. Sharma. It may be any one of us tomorrow. It is immaterial whether it is your Government or it is our Government. Let us go into it. If it is true and what is being put out in the papers and to the information that is fed to the nation is wholly false, we should make somebody responsible for this type of irresponsible activity. It is in threat background I would request you. Kindly listen to him and then take a decision.

SHRI T.A. MOHAMMED SAQHY (Tamil Nadu): If they want to discuss Amethi, let them raise it and we will discuss it.

SHRI R. GANESAN *alias* MIRA R. GANESAN (Tamil Nadu): Sir, on a point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): You allow Mr. Bhardwaj to conclude.

SHRI R. GANESAN *alias* MISA R. GANESAN: I am a point of order. This is inregard to the question of placing the documents on the Table of the House by the hon. Member. I would invite your attention to rule 235 which says:

"RULES TO BE OBSERVED IN COUNCIL- Whilst the Council is sitting, a member -

(i) shall not read any book, newspaper or letter except in connection with the business of the Council;"

My submission is, if this document is going to be placed by the hon. Member on the Table of the House, how is it connected with the business on hand, namely, Meham?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): There is

SHRI T.A. MOHAMMED SAQHY: Sir, if they want to discuss Amethi, let us also discuss the Blue Star operation and Amethi.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ: Sir, I am not speaking on Meham at all. I am not interested in Meham. It is their business. It is of Devi Lalji or Vishwanathji to decide, it is their party issue – how they would like to modfy the definition of democracy, according to their wish. I am not interested in that. I am only speaking ..

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, on a point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): You have been raising many points of order. He is concluding.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ: I am concluding.

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI: I am on a point of order.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): You have been raising many points of order.

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI: I have been raising many points of order, but you have not listened to even one point of order.

Sir, very respectfully, I would submit, I fully agree that while discussing about Meham, by way of example , we quoted 'Amethi' as well. The hon. Member is absolutely within his right to talk about Amethi, as a parallel. But the hon. Member has gone on record saying – I quote 'I am not interested in Meham; it is their problem'. If that be the case, I would ask, what are we doing here?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): There is no point of order.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ: He does not know. He is a new Member.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): Please conclude, Mr. Bhardwaj. You do not comment on what he said.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ: Sir, he does not know. Sanjay Singh has been staying in my house and I have been staying in his house, for years and years. And you were not in politics at that time. (*Interruptions*) I am submitting a few points which will throw light on my .I have told I am not concerned with Meham because I never went to Meham. I went to Amethi. I was there throughout the election campaign. I want to put.. :

SHRI DINESHBHAI TRIVEDI: What about my point of order?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): That has been overruled.

SHRI HANSRAJ BHARDWAJ: I want to tell you a few points which I know. There is no name of Satish Sharma either in the FIR or in the first challan put in February, '90, in April, '90 or till today. There is no charge. Then what is it? It is simply vendaetta and vendaetta we will never tolerate. Thank you very much.

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Can I just . (*Interruptions*)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI): This will not go on record. (*Interruptions*) Please sit down. You want me to conduct the business of the House or not? You want me to conduct the business of the House or not? (*Interruptions*) If you do not allow me to conduct the business of the House, then I will have to adjourn it. ..(*Intrrruptions*) I adjourn the House till 11 ' oclock on Monday.

The House then adjourned at fourteen minutes past six of the clock till elven of the clock on Monday, the 21st May, 1990.